Unveiling the excitation modes of ²⁸Si in interpreting the barrier distribution data

S. Biswas 1,2 and A. Chakraborty 1

Poster Presentation (# 139)

ESTD: 1998

¹ Department of Physics, Siksha Bhavana, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan 731 235, India

² Department of Physics, Murshidabad College of Engineering and Technology, Berhampore 742 102, India



In heavy ion reactions, the interplay between the intrinsic structure and the reaction dynamics of the interacting nuclei is very important at energies near the Coulomb barrier. **Measurement of barrier distribution is a very powerful tool to understand this effect. There** exist two experimental methods for investigating barrier distributions: (i) by the measurement of fusion excitation function and (ii) by the measurement of quasi-elastic excitation function. Among the two methods of measurements, the measurement involving category (ii) is relatively easier to carry out in the laboratory and provides additional advantages over the measurement under category (i). The analysis of the experimentally measured data within coupled channel model (CCFULL) using Woods-Saxon potential give better understanding of the role of projectile and target deformations on fusion mechanism. Systematic study of the reactions involving spherical and deformed targets with the same projectile ²⁸Si unveils the role of different excitation modes of the projectile (²⁸Si) on fusion process.

	Pure vibrator	Pure Rotor	-051
$\frac{E_x(4^+)}{E_x(2^+)}$	2.0	3.33	2.59
$\frac{B(E2:4^+ \to 2^+)}{B(E2:2^+ \to 0^+)}$	2.0	1.43	1.24

Coupling Strength





Positive Q-value neutron transfer channel effects the fusion process.

No. of Transferred Neutrons



Hexadecapole deformation for ²⁸Si has been obtained different systems by for fitting the experimental distribution data barrier with the predicted results from CCFULL calculations.

References

[1] M. Dasgupta *et al.*, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 48, 401 (1998).

[2] B. B. Back *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 317 (2014).

[3] S. Biswas *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 102, 014613 (2020).

[4] S. Biswas *et al.*, Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Physics 58, 409-414 (2020).

[5] S. Biswas *et al.*, Proc. of the DAE Symp. on Nucl. Phys. 64 (2019) 439.

[6] G. Kaur *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 94, 034613 (2016).

[7] P. Roy et al., Phys. Rev. C 84, 011602 (2011).

[8] G. Haoat *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 30, 1795 (1984).