







Technological developments for the CMS HL-LHC Detector Upgrade

to enable a maximizing of new physics measurements ... at the highest rates .. highest fluence ... highest pileup ...

Anne Dabrowski (CERN) On behalf of the CMS experiment

Thanks to special contributions from F. Hartmann, P. Rumerio and P. Tropea and all CMS subsystem Upgrade Coordinators

CERN CMS Today - collaboration & detector





- Collected ~237 fb⁻¹ of p-p data and > <u>1210 papers</u> on collider data published or submitted to a journal
- Community committed to physics exploitation, LHC Run 3 operation and maintenance & Phase II detector upgrade for HL-LHC





Physics @ HL-LHC ... ``Higgs factory" and more

Precision tests of the standard model and Higgs physics, explore electroweak symmetry breaking, new physics via quantum loops, e.g.

- Higgs couplings at few %
 - HL-LHC will produce > 150 Million Higgs bosons
- di-Higgs production
 - HL-LHC will produce ~120k of pair-produced Higgs events
- Rare decays
- Longitudinal vector boson scattering

Hunt for rare exotic physics phenomena

- Dark matter?
- New resonances?
- Long lived particles?
- Supersymmetry?
- Extra dimensions?



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2016-May-07 02:15:29.192000 GMT Run / Event / LS: 272775 / 36556333 / 49

2016



Data ~35 vertices = 40 collisions = pile-up ~2'000 tracks per collision bunch (40 MHz)

Expectation for 2029

CMS Phase II Simulation ~200 vertices ~10'000 tracks per collision 40 MHz

https://cerncourier.com/atlas-and-cms-upgrade-proceeds-to-the-next-stage/









More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP,

System Design and Prototyping for the CMS Level-1 Trigger at the High-Luminosity LHC, Alexandre Zabi

The Upgrade of the Level-1 Muon Trigger at the CMS experiment for the High-Luminosity LHC era, Prof. Jacobo Konigsberg

Constraints / challenges / opportunities

 \rightarrow granularity, readout

 \rightarrow use DC-DC converters

 \rightarrow Tracker & Muons

 \rightarrow more tolerant technology



- Radiation environment
- Particle rates/density
- How to increase $|\eta|$ coverage
- Power in similar cable x-section
- Power density and cold operation (~0.5 MW for HGCAL+ MTD+TK) → bi-phase CO₂ cooling at -35 °C
- New detectors must fit into already defined volumes
 - CMS to install new services for legacy and new detectors
- Schedule
 - Advance any work from long shutdown 3 earlier
 - Endcap Muon detectors GE1/1 installed LS2 and GE2/1 and RPC for YETS
 - CO₂ infrastructure, services and manifolds
 - Surface laboratories and labs to assemble the sub-systems
 - Continuous development
 - E.g. Run 3 deployment trigger algos ; heterogenous computing and migration of reconstruction algorithms to GPUs at High Level Trigger ...





Run 3: 690 ms = ave. processing time per event for CPU Only Configuration Run 3: 397 ms = ave. processing time per event for GPU & CPU Configuration

		-	-										
)23	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	203
JASON	DJFMAMJJASOND	J FMAM J J A SOND	J FMAM J J A SOND	JFMAMJJASOND	J FMAM J J A SOND	JFMAMJJASOND	J FMAMJ J ASOND	J FMAMJ J ASOND	J FMAMJ J ASOND	J FMAMJ J A SOND	J FMAMJ J ASOND	J FMAM J J ASOND	JFMAMJJ

More info, comprehensive presentations at TIPP, Present and future of tracking and vertexing in CMS Marco Musich Deep learning techniques for energy clustering in the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter Dr Polina Simkina





Quote from CMS Upgrade project external reviewers:

"We want to note (again) that these projects are unprecedented in scale in particle physics, shift various paradigms, and employ technologies that have never before been exercised by the field."

The CMS Phase 2 Upgrade CERN





L1-Trigger **DAQ & High-Level Trigger Barrel Calorimeters** CMS **CMS** https://cds.cern.ch/record/2714892 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2759072 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283187 • Tracks in L1-Trigger at 40 MHz Full optical readout ECAL single crystal granularity at L1 trigger Particle Flow selection Heterogenous architecture with precise timing for e/y at 30 GeV 750 kHz L1 output 60 TB/s event network ECAL and HCAL new Back-End boards 40 MHz data scouting 7.5 kHz HLT output CMS Data Acquisitio and High Level Trigge CMS Barrel Calorimeters Muon systems CMS CMS https://cds.cern.ch/record/2283189 • DT & CSC new FE/BE readout **Calorimeter Endcap** RPC back-end electronics https://cds.cern.ch/record/2293646 New GEM/RPC 1.6 < n < 2.4 **Highly granular** Extended coverage to n ~ 3 3D showers and precise timing The Phase-2 Upgrade of the CMS Endcap Calorimeter Silicon, Scint+SiPM in Pb/W-SS TECHNICAL DESIGN REPO **Beam Radiation Instr. and Luminosity** CMS Tracker CMS **CMS** http://cds.cern.ch/record/2759074 **MIP Timing Detector** https://cds.cern.ch/record/2272264 Beam abort & timing • Si-Strip and Pixels increased granularity https://cds.cern.ch/record/2667167 Beam-induced background Design for tracking in L1-Trigger Precision timing with: • Bunch-by-bunch luminosity: Extended coverage to $\eta \simeq 3.8$ Barrel layer: Crystals + SiPMs 1% offline, 2% online Endcap layer: Neutron and mixed-field radiation Low Gain Avalanche Diodes monitors







Design optimized for L1 Track Trigger at 40MHz - world's first

Extension of tracking to $|\eta|$ up to 3.8

Radiation tolerant sensors with high granularity

Tracker

CO₂ cooling throughout, DC-DC & serial powering & ultra light mechanics



CMS

CERN-LHCC-2017-009 CMS-TDR-17-001



Outer Tracker 2007 in Inner Tracker 2008 in Inner Tracker 2016 out Phase I, Inner Tracker 2017 in (CO2 cooling, lighter mechanics, higher rate frontend ASIC) Phase I', New Inner Tracker barrel layer 1 2021 for Run 3 (new sensor, improved higher rate frontend ASIC) Tracker 2026 out Phase II, Tracker 2028 in

Photo: M.Hoch



Phase II Tracker

Outer Tracker

- $\simeq 200 \text{ m}^2 \text{ tilted geometry}$
- Track stub / double sided p_T module @ bunch crossing rate of 40 MHz
- Track finding implemented in FPGAs → defined layout substantially
 - E.g. need 6 outer tracker for trigger redundancy
 - 1.5 mm macro-pixel \rightarrow ~1 mm z- resolution at 40 MHz vertexing at L1
- Inner tracker
 - ≃ 4.9 m²; up to **|η| =4**.0

For simplicity Tracker uses only **rectangular** modules OT:2 version types (2S & PS) IT: 2 planar + 1 3D CMS



HL-LHC Tracker Layout, p_T module "is the system" optimized for efficiently selecting stubs $p_T > 2$ GeV in high magnetic field



Programmable window $\rightarrow p_T$ threshold for stub selection





Think of Outer Tracker Detector as:

 "a collection of radiation hard Tracker modules", held in position by cooled, light weight mechanics

Module variation:

CERN

- Pitch of sensors
- Distance between sensors
- Angle of module wrt. to the interaction point optimize for stub selection

Tracker finder system processes stubs to reconstruct a track for Level-1 trigger

Full hit information used in high level trigger (HLT) reconstruction

The backend electronics for the L1T track finder system (receiving stubs) is about the same size as the backend electronics for the full readout



More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, Integration Tests with 2S Module Prototypes for the Phase-2 Upgrade of the CMS Outer Tracker, Lea Stockmeier



Outer Tracker Sensors

- Years of dedicated effort in sensor technology research, ensuring robustness throughout the extended high luminosity period without the need for repairs
- AC-coupled, n-in-p strip sensors with p-stop cell isolation, 290/320 um active/physical thickness
- Comprehensive irradiation and prolonged annealing studies to gain insight into safety margins, employing the most challenging environmental conditions
 - The entire Outer Tracker system meticulously crafted and quality-assured for an operating voltage of 800V



Couple of hundreds of R&D wafers of different thicknesses and material – many structures

➔ Chose n-in-p (register electrons); confirm pitches, isolation, QA strategy





P-Type Silicon Strip Sensors for the new CMS Tracker at HL-LHC, JINST 12 P06018.

Mechanics: reduction material budget







- DCDC converters lower cable cross section
- CO₂ two-phase cooling thin pipes
- Lighter materials
- Inclined geometry fewer modules
- Optimized service routing 3D modelling

1st barrel ladders - OT



OT tilted rings





3 dummy PS modules on a ring

OT endcap dee



Layer 3 Return Manifold



Inner Tracker, extension into the forward region



 $25 \times 100 \ \mu m^2$ pitch, $\simeq 3 \ GHz/cm^2$ (inner most layer) new technology 65 nm TSMC ASIC (RD53)



- CMS Read Out Chip (CROC RD53 development) only active element on module
- (1156) 1x2 ROC module and (2736) 2x2 CROC module



CERN







More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP:

<u>TID effects study on the monitoring system of the RD53 chip</u>, Mohsine Menouni <u>Prototype validation for the CMS Inner Tracker Phase-2 upgrade</u>, Nazar Bartosik



Inner Tracker sensors

Choices based on radiation hardness tolerance, power consumption, high cooling efficiency, And small cells: spatial resolution, low occupancy \rightarrow track seeding, pattern recognition, b-tagging

n-in-p, $25 \times 100 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ pixel cells, $150 \ \mu\text{m}$ active thickness

All layers (except barrel layer 1) n-in-p planar sensors

- 25 x 100 μ m² sensor pixels matched to 50 x 50 μ m² in FE chip
- Rad tolerance: n-in-p to register electrons and 150 µm active thickness
- Thin also matches small pixels (thick would distribute charge to many channel
- No punch through bias dot; bitten implant reduces cross talk

Irradiated Sensor+CROC assemblies:

- Excellent performance spatial precision
- Hit efficiency >98% (1x10¹⁶ cm⁻² 1MeV n_{eq} cm⁻²)

Barrel layer 1: 3D pixel sensors

- dissipate less power (lower HV & leakage current)
- n+ columns are connected to ROC, 150 µm active thickness,
- p+ columns reach the backside of the sensor (bias voltage) Irradiated 3D-Sensor+CROC assemblies:
- Hit efficiency >~97% (~1x10¹⁶cm⁻² 1MeV n_{eq} cm⁻²)



CMS planar n-in-p "Bitten implant" design 2 neighbouring pixel cells

planar sensor+CROC hit efficiency vs bias voltage after ~1x10¹⁶cm⁻² 1MeV n_{eg} cm⁻²





More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, Radiation Hard Pixel Sensors for the Phase 2 Upgrade of the CMS Inner Georg Steinbrueck



Bias voltage [V

Inner Tracker Mechanics

Efficient thermal management to extract heat combined with minimizing material budget



Microscopy of 20 μm diamond in Moresco thermal interface material 30% and 70% mass fraction

Epoxy+diamond - 50 μ m; λ = 0.65 W/mK

 $\lambda = 0.89 \text{ W/mK}$

CO₂ Heat Transfer Coefficient: 5000 W/m²K

Moresco+diamond 70% – 100 μm;

Araldite - 50 μ m; λ = 0.25 W/mK





HDI – 220 µm – Kapton+copper ; $\lambda = 97$ W/mK Pixel Sensor – 150+50 µm – Silicon; $\lambda = 148$ W/mK CROC – 150 µm - Silicon; $\lambda = 148$ W/mK Module cooling plate – 250 µm; Alumina nitride - $\lambda = 160$ W/mK High conductivity carbon fiber – 0.5 mm $\lambda_{xz} = 200$ W/mK - $\lambda_y = 2$ W/mK Pipe – Stainless steel ; O.D. 1.8 mm - I.D. 1.6 mm; $\lambda = 15$ W/mK Housing pipe- Carbon Foam - $\lambda = 17$ W/mK



The current Outer Tracker December 2007







Insertion of the current Outer Tracker 2007

















Barrel Calorimeter

Cool electromagnetic barrel (ECAL) calorimeter from 18 °C to 9 °C \rightarrow APD dark current reduction. Upgrade frontend (ECAL) + backend electronics (ECAL + HCAL)



Total of 61200 PbWO4 crystals Readout by two APD's





Replace all frontend (& backend electronics) during LS3 - remove, open and refurbish all 36 supermodules

Dedicated frontend analogue and digital ASIC developments

Faster readout electronics 160 MHz allow:

- precise timing resolution of 30 ps for 20 GeV/60 GeV at the start / end of HL-LHC
- better rejection of anomalous signals (spikes) at Level-1

All samples – full streaming - are sent off-detector thanks to a compression mechanisms, and the Level-1 trigger decision will be processed in the FPGA off-detector





36 supermodules (1700 crystals/SM) Total of 61200 PbWO4 crystals Electromagnetic barrel calorimeter before the installation of the tracker



ECAL Barrel System performance in test beam CERN

- 25 GeV

- 50 GeV

75 GeV

- 100 GeV

- 125 GeV



ECAL Single channel

P F ⊕ C



5 front-end ASICS (one per crystal) on the very frontend card



a.u.

0.8

ECAL Test Beam 2021

Excellent internal non-linearity (INL) of $\pm 1/1000$ from front-end ASIC



ECAL Test Beam 2021

60

50





World's largest scale First at Hadron colliders

High Granularity Calorimeter (HGCAL)

a 5D calorimeter imaging calorimeter 3x silicon area of the CMS tracker

"5 dimensions" measured \rightarrow (x,y,z,E,t)

CERN-LHCC-2017-023 CMS-TDR-019

CMS

GERN HGCAL replaces both 230 ton endcap noses

Fit within the envelope of today's CMS endcap calorimeter





Requirements include:

- Sustain radiation environment and S/N allowing for MIP calibration through full HL-LHC operation
- Highly granular for particle flow reconstruction, pileup suppression



HGCAL,



simulated shower propagation through all layers

- 'track' particles through the full system – a dream for particle flow

Highly optimized system for high lumi: cost, efficiency and radiation tolerance The materials are chosen to survive the full high lumi operation **Silicon sensors provide required level of radiation hardness up to** 1.5x10¹⁶MeVn_{eq}/cm² Lower-cost SiPM on plastic scintillator tile instrument the volume < 0.5x10¹⁴MeVn_{eq}/cm²



More info on reconstruction, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, Machine learning based reconstruction techniques for CMS HGCAL Dr Rajdeep Chatterjee Development of Muon Tomography for the Geometry Validation of the CMS High Granularity Calorimeter, Dr Indranil Das



HGCAL: *Extreme radiation environment is a driver in the choice of technologies*



first experiment implementation of CALICE concept developed for linear collider detectors

26 Si layers in CE-E, with Pb/Cu/CuW absorbers; 8 Si layers & 13 mixed Si/Scint layers in CE-H, with Cu/SS absorber





CE-E: **26** sampling layers $-26 X_0 + \sim 1.7 \lambda$ **CE-H 21** sampling layers -9λ

- The silicon part (more rad tolerant)
 - 600 m² of silicon (x3 of CMS Tracker)
 - 8" wafers a first in High Energy Physics
 - 6M channels, 0.5 or 1 cm² cells
- Plastic scintillator (less rad tolerant)
 - ~370 m² of scintillators
 - 280k scintillator & SiPMs on tile
 - < 0.5x10¹⁴ 1 MeV n_{eq}/cm²
- High granularity
 - 3D shower topology and time resolution of ~30 ps (p_T > few GeV) - 5D
 - Particle Flow concept (PF)
 - High dynamic range 1- 5000 MIPs / channel
 - Frontend ASIC ADC (10-bit) &
 - TOT TDC after preamplifier saturates (12-bit)





- n-in-p pad hexagonal sensors on 8inch wafer **cost-effective usage of wafer area** enabling 600m²
 - with p-stop cell isolation
- Extensive neutron irradiation and annealing campaign on full sensors
- Sensor thickness and cell sizes adapted to radiation and particle density vs. radius
 - Optimize occupancy and capacitance/noise S/N
 - MIPs used for calibration normal high energy signals are higher
 - A few per wafer calibration cells in addition



Active	Cell size	Cell C	Fluence	Initial	MIP S/N (min)	Bulk
thickness (μm)	(cm^2)	(pF)	$(10^{15} n_{1 { m MeV}} / { m cm}^2)$	$\mathrm{MIP}~\mathrm{S/N}$	@ 3000 fb-1	material
300	1.25	44	0.1 - 0.5	7.8	5.8	FZ
200	1.25	65	0.5 - 2.5	5.5	3.6	\mathbf{FZ}
120	0.56	48	1.5 - 7	4.2	2.3	EPI



Thin sensors: keep fields high (at same voltage) after irradiation and annealing After irradiation: thin sensor have the same or higher signal as thick sensors



- Optimise coverage at outer and inner radius - match hexagon to circle
- Several geometries out of a single wafer layout by different cutting







HGCAL Silicon modules



Design emphasizes performance, simplicity for automated assembly, robustness for 26000 modules

A Kapton foil is laminated onto the baseplate to provide bias (high) voltage protection, the grounded Cu inside shields against noise.

Base plate (CuW for CE-E and C-fibre for CE-H) onto which the sensor is glued. A front-end readout PCB "Hexaboard" is then glued on top of the silicon The Sensor cells are wire-bonded and then potted.









SiPM-on-tile system: 370m²,280 000 tiles & SiPMs



Large detector 3744 pcbs, 3744 assembled tile modules

CERN

Small <u>cast-</u>scintillator tiles in high radiation zone, larger <u>moulded</u> scintillators in low radiation zone





Engineering challenges

- High precision
- High density
- High mass
- Electronics integrated into each layer
- Warm-cold transition
- Services integration
- Insertion tooling
- Lower 230 ton down into the cavern (-100 m underground)
- Constrained by fixed envelope





Selected HGCAL (heavy) Mechanics

132 Stainless steel absorber plates (μ_{max} = 1,05 SS304L)







First absorber disk being machined by PAEC/HMC-3

Titanium-Grade 4 wedges machined (interface CE-H backplate and YE-1),Low heat conduction, low Young's modulus, High strength yet ductile



Support wedges machined by KIT

Pre-production Absorber disks
CMS Muon Detector Upgrade

new electronics for legacy system, improved coverage to $|\mathbf{\eta}| < 2.8 \& p_T$ resolution for trigger, sustain high rates $|\mathbf{\eta}|$

Existing DT, CSC , RPC muon detectors remain with upgraded electronics

- sustain x10 higher rates enhance performance
- Improve RPC trigger hit time resolution from 25 ns to 1.5ns

New detectors in challenging (high rate, high background) forward region

- Enhance tracking performance
- Allow bending angle measurement at trigger level
- Triple-Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM) detectors:
 - GE1/1 : (2 layers) LS2

CERN

- GE2/1 : (2 layers) YETS before LS3
- ME0 : (6 layers) LS3
- New: improved RPC detectors
 - iRE3/1 & iRE4/1: 1 layer each for redundancy

Investigate eco-friendly gas solutions and recuperation (eff 80%)

More info, comprehensive presentations: The upgrade of the CMS Muon System for the LHC Phase 2, Gabriella Pugliese Longevity Studies of the CMS Muon System for HL-LHC Lisa Borgonov

Long-term Performance Studies of Resistive Plate Chambers with Environmentally Friendly HFO/CO2 Gas Mixtures at the GIF++ Facility, Gianluca Rigoletti





Successful completion of GE1/1 – 72 super chambers per end GE2/1 demonstrator installed, production ongoing ME0:

- Stack of 6 layers of triple-GEM
- Operate in a challenging environment with hit rates up to 150 kHz/cm²
- New GEM foil design to mitigate the problem of gain drop:
- Change the orientation of HV segments from horizontal to azimuthal



Foil & pcb technology covered in Plenary talk tomorrow "**MicroPattern Gaseous Detectors**" by Dr Rui de Oliveira





More info, comprehensive presentations: The upgrade of the CMS Muon System for the LHC Phase 2, Gabriella Pugliese The Power System of GEM-Muon Sub-Detector for CMS Phase-II upgrade Shimaa AbuZeid



BARREL

Surface~ 40 m²Number of channels~ 332kRadiation level~ 2x1014 neq/cm²Sensors: LYSO crystals + SiPMs



ENDCAPS Surface ~ 15 m² Number of channels ~ 4000k Radiation level ~ 2x10¹⁵ n_{ef}/cm² Sensors: Low gain avalanche diodes





Between Tracker and Calorimeter



- Thin layer between tracker and calorimeters
- MIP sensitivity with time resolution of 30-50 ps
- Hermetic coverage for |η|<2.9

30-60 ps timing on arrival timing of minimum ionising particles – an extra parameter to associate tracks to vertex

Coverage $0 < |\eta| < 3.0$

MTD MIP Timing Detector



CERN-LHCC-2019-003 CMS-TDR-020



30-60 ps timing on arrival timing of minimum ionising particles – an extra parameter to associate tracks to vertex

Coverage 0 < |**η**| < 3.0

MTD MIP Timing Detector



CERN-LHCC-2019-003 CMS-TDR-020

Precise timing to associate tracks to a vertex



reduce tracks from pileup vertices that are incorrectly associated with the hard interaction vertex



CERN

improving the efficiency in reconstructing the physics objects

- better lepton isolation efficiency
- reduced *pileup jet* rate
- improved *b-jet tagging* efficiency
- For many channels, the gain is equivalent to 25-30% increation of integrated luminosity (see talk. P. Meridiani)

identifying charged particles based on the time-of-flight e.g.

• searching for long lived particles etc.

particle ID in heavy ion program

π/K separation up to 2-3 GeV and K/p separation up to 5 GeV









MTD – **Barrel** Timing Layer (BTL) Technology



first HEP experiment "PET-like" system

thin layer of LYSO:Ce crystal bars + SiPM + thermal electric coolers providing $\sigma_t \simeq 30/60$ ps before/after irradiation

- Fluence at the end of HL-LHC $< 1.85 \times 10^{14} n_{eq}/cm^2$, Dose : < 20 kGy
- LYSO: Ce crystals high light yield ~40000 ph/MeV ; decay time ~45ns, very fast rise time (~100ps), good radiation tolerance
- SiPMs: fast timing properties, magnetic field tolerant, compact and robust. 3x3 mm² SiPMs glued at each end of the bar
 - Two timing measurements per event, readout ASIC
 - Thermal electric coolers (TEC): cooling ($\Delta T = 10$ to -45 °C) to reduce dark count rate (~x2) and annealing (+60 °C) the SiPM

16 LYSO bars polished on both ends $(56 \times 3 \times 3.75 \text{ mm}^3)^{\circ}$ per module ($\simeq 21000$)



SiPM on both sides



24 modules readout unit grouped in trays



Company delivers SiPM+TEC package



MTD – Barrel Timing Layer (BTL) Performance



- Significant optimization of configuration to optimise σ_{t} performance through HL-LHC

- Smart thermal management with integrated TECs
- SiPM technical choice of 25 μm cell size to boost signal (15 μm TDR)
- Thicker LYSO arrays for larger energy deposits
- TOFHIR2C ASIC optimization for electronic noise reduction





BTL: Barrel Timing Layer

More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, Precision Timing at High-Luminosity LHC with the CMS MIP Timing Detector, Paolo Meridiani

MTD – Endcap Timing Layers (ETL)



ETL first use (ATLAS&CMS) of Low Gain Avalanche photo Diodes (LGAD) on large scale readout by dedicated ASIC 1.6 < $|\eta| < 3$, 2 double sided thin layers with 1.3 x 1.3 mm² pads providing 8.5 M channels $\sigma_t \simeq 35$ ps /track



• Sensor choice: 50 µm-thick, 16×16 pads array with 1.3×1.3 mm² pads

More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, Precision Timing at High-Luminosity LHC with the CMS MIP Timing Detector, Paolo Meridiani

CERN CMS Luminosity Measurement @ HL-LHC







Precision goal for HL-LHC

• 2% online and <1% offline

to match and even surpass other experimental uncertainties https://cds.cern.ch/record/2759074



- Exceptionally linear response over four orders of magnitude in total instantaneous luminosity (a factor of 400 in PU and about 20 in number of bunches)
- Stability and longevity over 3000fb⁻¹ (>10 years)
- Real-time bunch-by-bunch measurements for precise machine optimization (e.g. luminosity levelling)

Require multiple luminometers to understand systematics of detectorrelated effects (<% level) and disentangle from beam effects

CMS

CMS Strategy Luminosity Measurement @ HL-LHC

CERN









for HI -I HC. Konstantin Shibin

CERN

TEPX: Luminosity triggers for real-time cluster counting on FPGA



Exploitation of CMS hardware with dedicated luminosity data processing



14 TeV Pixel frame **CLUSTERS** count FPGA calculation CMS 25 Phase-2 Simulation Mean cluster 20 TEPX Disk4 Ring1 15 0 0 0 0 10 0 0 Algorithm output Linear fit 50 100 150 200 4 quartercores <PU>

Pixel Cluster Algorithm instance tested with margin available in both logic and memory resources.



Figure 12.20: Placement of 176 clustering instances on a VU13P FPGA.

TEPX as a high-precision luminosity detector for CMS at the HL-LHC, M. Haranko et al **DOI** 10.1088/1748-0221/17/03/C03001

TEPX –750 kHz of CMS L1 triggers + 75 kHz luminosity trigger

 Backend electronics, decode trigger type and process luminosity triggers in a dedicated luminosity stream



TEPX-Disk4-Ring1

CERN

• 825 kHz of luminosity triggers only via special trigger board



Infrastructure for the CMS Phase 2 upgrade

CMS

Profound infrastructure evolution

- Adapt to higher cooling and electrical power needs -> new technologies (CO2 evaporative, vacuum insulation, etc.), higher reliability demands (power backups, cold detector dry gas flushing...)
 - Detector racks: from 600 kW to 1500 kW
 - Cold detectors (sub-zero): from 120 kW (Tracker & Preshower) to 550 kW (=750kW @ primary system). High pressure. Vacuum jacket insulation pipes.
 - DAQ system: 1 MW to 2.5 MW (Run3) to up to 5 MW at end of Hi-Lumi operation

Electrical power evolution

- Detector power increase to 2 MW from max 1.5 MW
- Electrical power supply for CO2 cooling (with primary & ancillaries) about 2.5 MW

Complex work of integration

 Fit legacy detectors and new ones with higher readout, higher cooling power, etc into existing volumes – cannot re-do the full detector geometry -> 3D reconstruction, integration of cables, etc

Challenging schedule

 decommission part of the legacy without damaging the detectors and infrastructure that remain, maintain and consolidate all that needs to increase lifetime up to end of Run 3, install new detectors and commission them to take new data in Run4!

Services per end Phase 1 vs Phase 2











CO₂ evaporative cooling @ CMS





CERN

- Serves Tracker, HGCAL, MTD detectors with coolant temperatures down to -35 C
- Substitute C6F14 and R404a with liquid CO₂ (on detector pumped loops) and R744 (primary system)
- High reliability, complex system: design for high pressure (100 bar), low temperature
- Vacuum insulation for all transfer lines, to cope with stringent quality and space requirements



Conclusions

CMS Phase II Upgrade Project is an exciting endeavor, pushing the boundaries of high energy detector physics

- Track Trigger at Level 1
- Fast timing
- Highly Granular Calorimetry with timing for pileup rejection (5D)
- Extended muon coverage in forward region
- Sophisticated Level 1 trigger and heterogenous computing for fast parallel computing with reduced power consumption
- Redundant realtime luminosity systems to understand systematics at sub % level
- Huge infrastructure upgrade with challenging schedule!





backup







Tracker

Inner tracker electronics chain, dense environment



Common ASIC CMS/ATLAS (RD53) [1] development enabling $50(25) \times 50(100) \mu m^2$ pitch at $\simeq 3$ GHz/cm²

Front-end ASIC new technology 65 nm TSMC ASIC, radiation hard to 1 Grad

- Hit rate ~ 3 GHz/cm² (inner layer), trigger latency 12.8 µs (ASIC buffer length)
- ASIC, 336x432 145152 pixels, zero suppression
- Power < 1 W/cm^2

CERN

- Time-over-threshold hit charge measurement with 4 bits ADC
- CMS: linear analogue front-end [2,3]
- LDO for serial powering in the ASIC
- Data aggregation and drive signal over 50 cm 120 cm

Serial powering - only way to power 50 kW detector with reasonable material budget



A Single Chip Card (SCC) with a CROC_v1 chip

[3] CERN-RD53-PUB-20-002.



Front-end hybrids topologies



Sensor spacings: 1.8 mm and 4.0 mm



PS-FEH Hybrid

Sensor spacings: 1.6 mm, 2.6 mm and 4.0 mm





> HDI polyimide flex circuits.

- 42.5 μm tracks width and spacing.
- \geq 25 µm laser copper filled microvias.
- Down to 500 μm radius tight fold.
- 250 µm pitch flip chips with high pincount:
 - CBC3.1, SSA, CIC.
- High speed differential pairs.
 - \succ 90 Ω in 150 $\mu m,$ 4 layers thin flex.
- Carbon fibre stiffener.
 - High thermal conductivity laminates.
- > CTE compensators.
 - To eliminate bow.
- > AIN spacers.
 - To align with sensor spacings.



Today's CMS Tracker



- Outer Tracker
 - \simeq 9.3 M strip channels (198 m²), Mono-phase cooling
- Inner tracker

 $\simeq 125$ M pixels (~1.9m²), Bi-phase CO₂ cooling



Outer Tracker Level 1 track finding finding data architecture

CERN



•Two-stage processing architecture for Track Finding: Layer 1 DTC system and Layer 2 TFP
•Total system include 378 ATCA boards: 216 DTC and 162 TFPs (electronics for trigger and readout are of comparible size)





Outer Tracker Sensors

- Tens of man-year-long campaigns to identify sensor technology, surviving the whole high lumi period without any repair
- AC-coupled, Rpoly biased n-in-p strip sensors with atoll p-stop cell isolation – 290/320 um active/physical thickness
- Dedicated irradiation and long-term annealing campaigns to • understand margins and based on 'worst' environment corner
 - The whole OT system is designed and QAed for 800V ٠



Couple of hundreds of R&D wafers of different thicknesses and material – many structures \rightarrow Chose n-in-p (register electrons); confirms pitches, isolation, QA strategy

P-Type Silicon Strip Sensors for the new CMS Tracker at HL-LHC, JINST 12 P06018.



5 6

4

8 9

7 Fluence after 3000 fb^{-1} ($10^{14} \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$) 11

lection đ the sensor thickness the Phase-2 upgrade of the CMS Outer Tracker, JINST 16 P11028





BRIL

Fast Beams Conditions Monitor (FBCM)



Dedicated ASIC development (65nm) submitted – expected Sept. '23

- Continuous untriggered readout
- Internal fast peaking time (6-8ns)
- Binary output proportional to TOT \rightarrow sampled downstream with IpGBT
- Silicon Sensors pad area 2.89 mm^2 at a radius of 14.5 cm
- 2.5x10¹⁵ 1 MeV n eq fluence for 3000 fb⁻¹ (sensor)
- 290 um thickness sensors produced as diodes on Outer Tracker PS-s wafers
 - Alternative 150 um thickness diode submitted on CMS IT wafer design submitted
- 288 channels

CERN

Modular design uses standard HL-LHC electronics components

Integration compatible with CMS inner tracker and C02 cooling will be shared.









More information, K. Shibin, TIPP2023 Thursday

More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, <u>Electronics design and testing of the CMS Fast Beam Condition Monitor</u> for HL-LHC, Konstantin. Shibin





muons

New Technology for CMS Phase II - Gas Electron Multiplier (GEM)





Foil & pcb technology covered in
Plenary talk tomorrow **"MicroPattern Gaseous Detectors"**by Dr Rui de Oliveira

Avalanche across a GEM





- Present **DT**, **CSC**, **RPC** detectors remain
- → upgrade electronics to cope with HL-LHC rates and enhance performance

New detectors to improve tracking and coverage at high η:

- MEO: 6 layers, extend coverage to 2.4 < lηl < 2.8</p>
- GE1/1: 2 layers
- GE2/1: 2 layers
- **RE3/1**: 1 layer
- **RE4/1**: 1 layer

Evaluate longevity for HL-LHC and find eco-friendly solutions



Full 144 chambers for GE 1/1 built, installed (2020) & fully operational



Unprecedented scale of GEM installation!

CERN

Bas Flag Passonic Connector Distance of the second second



"Layout and Assembly Technique of the GEM Chambers for the Upgrade of the CMS First Muon Endcap Station" <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.11.061</u>





Full 144 chambers for GE 1/1 built, installed fully operational



Unprecedented scale of GEM installation!



CMS





Trigger & HLT



CMS Phase II Trigger

Two-level trigger architecture with Level-1 (L1) and High- Level-Trigger (HLT)

The L1 trigger will operate at hardware level implementing processor boards equipped with FPGAs and high-speed links

The HLT will operate at software level using as input the CMS detector full-granularity information and more sophisticated algorithms & heterogeneous computing



- Barrel Calorimeter: 25x resolution improvement
- Endcap Calorimeter: 3D High Granularity (transverse and deapth)
- Muon objects ME0 extending muon coverage 2.4<|**n**|<2.8

Algorithms

- Particle flow, matching track/muon and calorimeter objects in correlator trigger, machine learning ...
- Dedicated "scouting" stream, enable trigger-less analysis at collision rate







CMS

CMS Level-1 Trigge

the extensive use of state-of-the-art FPGAs • (Xilinx UltraScale+ VU9P \rightarrow 8 × Phase-1 Virtex 7)

very-high-speed optical links

(28Gb/s against the 10Gb/s in Phase-1)

ATCA industry standard processor boards

the implementation of a highly modular architecture



- trigger boards in pre-production
- Optics under test

Samtec Firefly Optics Flyover copper to QSFP





Hardware features of L1 Trigger



Time us

- Algorithms developed and implemented in FPGA
- L1 Latency estimates 8.9 µs + 1 µs to propagate back to front ends < 12 μ s

More info, comprehensive presentation at TIPP, System Design and Prototyping for the CMS Level-1 Trigger at the High-Luminosity LHC, Alexandre Zabi



In Phase2 CMS Trigger will be using algorithms much closer to offline:

- PUPPI for PU removal (extremely needed with PU 140-200)
- Capability to run complex algorithms

CERN

- Written in C++ and deployed on FPGA via **High Level Synthesis**
- Machine learning algorithms trained on standard systems and then again synthesized on FPGA (**hls4ml**, for example)



CMS





Board map



- Serenity used in the backend of the Tracker, MTD and HGC
- X2O used in the backend of GEMs/ME0 and CSC



CMS Phase II High Level Trigger (HLT)





13.6 Te\

HLT @ Run 3 – heterogenous computing in production

- Need for High throughput requires HLT to take advantage of parallelism wherever possible – and event reconstruction in detectors can be parallelised
- CMS profiting from heterogenous hardware availability in markets
 - added 200 machines to the HLT for Run3
 - Reduction in average processing time 40% (pileup ~60)
- Parallelization requires re-engineering the existing code and in the process CMS achieve gains in physics performance
- Run 3 HCAL, ECAL, Pixel Local Reconstruction, Pixel-Only Track and Vertex Reconstruction (which seeds the full tracking, also used standalone for scouting) are running on GPUs
- GPUs more efficient in terms of costs and more energy efficient
 - 30% reduction in power consumption Run 3



200 machines:

- 2x AMD EPYC 7763 "Milan" 64-core processors (128 Cores, 256 threads)
- 2x NVIDIA T4
- System Memory 256 GB

Each T4 has 2560 CUDA "threads" running at 1.59GHz, 16GB GDDR6 DRAM and 6MB L2 cache Power Consumption:

- 2x AMD CPU ~ (2 x 280W) = 660 W
- GPU ~ (2 x 70W) = 140W
 System Memory ~96W



Run 3: 690 ms = average processing time per event for CPU Only Configuration

Run 3: 397 ms = average processing time per event for GPU & CPU Configuration


• Exploiting additional timing precision ongoing

DAQ&HLT TDR: Average processing time per event & composition of the HLT reconstruction weighted by the relative processing time, sample of L1- accepted minimum-bias events with $\langle PU \rangle = 200$





MTD

ETL – readout readout & modules

- ✤ ETROC+LGAD should achieve a time resolution < 50 ps per single hit</p>
 - ETROC(0,1,2,.) is developed in stages, 65 nm technology, 100 MRad (TID spec)
 - low noise + fast rise time
 - power budget: 1 W/chip, 3 mW/channel
 - ETROC measures arrival time of signal (6 20 fC)
 - ASIC contribution to time resolution < 40 ps
 - L1 buffer latency: 12.5 us
 - ENC = ~ 0.3 fC
 - 16x16 pixels

ETROC2: full functionality final prototype ASIC

- received June 2023 initial tests excellent, qualification ongoing
- Test beam verification of LGADs bump-bonded to ETROC2 will start in September





More info L/ Markovic, The Endcap Timing Layer detector for the CMS Phase-2 upgrade, 2023 FAST Workshop, 31/05/2023





Readout board and module assembly demonstrated 9 k modules (1 or 2 sensors)





MTD – Endcap Timing Layers (ETL)



ETL first use (ATLAS&CMS) of Low Gain Avalanche photo Diodes (LGAD) on large scale readout by dedicated ASIC 1.6 < $|\eta| < 3$, 2 double sided thin layers with 1.3 x 1.3 mm² pads providing 8.5 M channels $\sigma_t \simeq 35$ ps /track



ETL low-gain avalanche silicon diode (LGAD) sensors

LGAD sensor:

- size optimised by occupancy and matched to read-out electronics
- 50 μm-thick, 16×16 pads array with 1.3×1.3 mm² pads
- Low leakage current to limit power consumption and noise
- Large and uniform signals: >8 fC pre-radiation, >5 fC after highest irradiation point
- Minimized "no-gain" area needed to isolate pads: inter-pad distance < 50 μm

ETL sensor (55 um thick) characterization with beta-source after irradiation meet timing and radiation hardness requirements

Test beam verification of LGAD sensor bump-bonded to low noise + fast rise time ASIC will start in September

ETROC2











Infrastructure





Cooling power evolution (ref: <u>https://edms.cern.ch/document/1751282/5</u>)

- Detector racks: from 600 kW to 1500 kW
- Water cooled detectors: remains constant (few more Muon chambers, higher power density on other systems like B-CAL)
- Cold detectors (sub-zero): from 120 kW (Tracker & Preshower) to (ref. <u>https://edms.cern.ch/document/2043333/4</u>) 550 kW (= 750 kW @ primary system)
- DAQ system: from 1 MW to 2.5 MW (Run3) to potentially up to 5 MW at end of Hi-Lumi operation

Electrical power evolution (ref. <u>https://edms.cern.ch/document/2741045/2</u>)

- Detector power increase to 2 MW from max 1.5 MW
- Electrical power supply for CO2 cooling (with primary & ancillaries) about 2.5 MW
- New Diesel generator to supply secured power for uninterruptable processes (on top of safety systems)



Dry gases for detector inert atmosphere CERN

Process Diesel. and PLC in UPS



New plant on Machine Power, with one compressor and the separation unit in

services, with one compressor and the separation unit in Safety Diesel, and PLC in UPS

Flushing dry air compressors in General services

Dry gas distribution overview



The gas production system is designed so:

Bottles

(autonomy ~3hous)

- One (out of two) hypoxic air plant with two compressors running can supply the full detector needs
- Three dry compressors from dry air network can supply the full cooling infrastructure plus full detector needs

Different operation scenarios:

- Nominal: one hypoxic plant running with two compressors delivers full flow, the other in standby, swap periodically,
- Machine power failure: old plant working with both compressors.
- General services failure: new plant working with both compressors
- General blackout: each plant has one compressor in a Diesel network. Both compressors can run simultaneously to deliver full flow, or only one to deliver half of nominal flow.
- Old plant maintenance/failure (including PLC failure): new plant working with both compressors
- New plant maintenance/failure (including PLC failure): old plant working with both compressors
- Both plants maintenance/failure (including PLC failure): swap to flushing dry air in USC (low pressure failure).
- Bottles: none of the rest are available (very short autonomy!)



Equipment redundancy

Electrical power redundancy



CMS New forward Shielding for activation and neutron reduction



Two-fold goals

- Limit the activation of steel structures
 - Fe⁵⁴ + n \rightarrow Mn⁵⁴ + p

Mn⁵⁴ is a gamma emitter with half-life of 312 days.

- Mn⁵⁴ presence in the cavern steel structures makes any destructive intervention very complicated from a procedural point of view.
- Reduce background in muons chambers to increase overall chamber longevity





240 tons of additional shielding material (90% concrete – 10% steel) per cavern end



Assembly test of unfilled blocks



180m³ concrete poured

FLUKA simulation of the expected activation levels for steel (worst case) at 4 months in Long Shutdown 3

- •installation assumed in one side of the CMS cavern only;
- •Vertical projection over the full UXC5;



p_T modules concept

Modules provide p_{τ} discrimination in front-end electronics









"strip-strip" - 2S modules

- Sensor dimension are 10cm x 10cm
 - two column of 1016 strips
- 2 micro strip sensors with 5cm x 90µm strips
- 2 different spacing : 1.8mm & 4mm

$y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \div 4 & mm \end{bmatrix}$ $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \div 4 & mm \end{bmatrix}$ $y = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ $z = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & \mum \end{bmatrix}$

"pixel-strip" - PS Modules

- Top sensor (towards IP): 2.5cm x 100µm strips
- Bottom sensor :1.5mm x 100µm pixels
- 3 different spacing: 1.6 mm ; 2.6 mm and 4 mm





CERN CMS New forward Shielding



240 tons of additional shielding material (90% concrete – 10% steel) per cavern end

Two-fold goals

- Limit the activation of steel structures
 - Fe⁵⁴ + n \rightarrow Mn⁵⁴ + p

Mn⁵⁴ is a gamma emitter with half-life of 312 days.

- Mn⁵⁴ presence in the cavern steel structures makes any destructive intervention very complicated from a procedural point of view.
- Reduce background in muons chambers to increase overall chamber longevity

Being built, first-end installation planned for 2024







Assembly test of unfilled blocks

180m³ concrete poured

D. Bozzato PhD Thesis. 2023. doi:10.5445/IR/1000159308

CO₂ evaporative cooling @ CMS





CERN

- Serves Tracker, HGCAL, MTD detectors with coolant temperatures down to -35 C
- Substitute C6F14 and R404a with liquid CO₂ (on detector pumped loops) and R744 (primary system)
- High reliability, complex system: design for high pressure (100 bar), low temperature
- Vacuum insulation for all transfer lines, to cope with stringent quality and space requirements



MTD – Endcap Timing Detector – ETROC2



ETL ETROC2 (TSMC 65 nm) ASIC tests ongoing

- PLL locks, I2C communication for chip configurat
 - Measured random (rms) jitter of 40 MHz T
 - Demonstrated charge injection all the way unpacked correctly in FPGA, using fast corr
- System tests have started
 - Successful I2C communication and R/W co PCB & prototype readout board (middle)
 - ETROC2 integrated into test beam setup pr 🔡 and the setup pr and the setup provided and the setup pro

Validation of LGAD + ETROC2 based prototypes – o Final ETROC3 pre-production ASIC for 2024













- Radioactivity is induced in detector and infrastructure components by prompt radiation as a consequence of the
 operation of high-energy accelerators and collider experiments. Irradiation conditions at the LHC experiments will
 become harsher with increasing luminosity making the assessment of induced radioactivity a pressing need.
- While the activation of the inner detector components cannot be avoided, the activation outside of HEP detectors can be mitigated and should be kept to levels as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA principle).
- With the only exception of the visitor platform that can normally be declassified after a certain cooling time during shutdown periods, the CMS experimental cavern (UXC55) is classified as a radiation area: any material leaving a radiation area must be subject on a control measurement that depends on the expected activation levels.
- Activation in the CMS cavern constrains maintenance, particularly in all the areas not in the shadow of the yoke :
 - stainless steel (type 304L) and iron are the most activated materials;
 - ${}^{54}Mn (T_{1/2} = 312.5 d)$ is the main driver;
 - this was clearly shown in FLUKA simulations and confirmed by LS2 RP residual dose rate surveys and gamma spectroscopy measurements.
- The CMS Technical coordination investigated the reinforcement of the existing forward shield with two-fold purpose: reduction of activation in the infrastructures at the periphery of CMS and reduction of background radiation for the Muon Drift Tubes;





- FLUKA simulations were performed in iteration with CMS engineers to optimize the shield design: the expected activation levels in the UXC5 infrastructures were estimated with the fluence conversion coefficients code.
- The fluence conversion coefficients method is based on the idea that radiological hazard factors can often be expressed as a weighted sum of radionuclide mass-specific activities with radionuclide-specific weights. Coefficients are precomputed and applied online during Monte Carlo transport calculations.
- Important premise on CERN and Swiss Radiation Protection rules:
 - a material containing a mixture of radionuclides can be cleared from regulatory control if the following condition (among others) is met:

$$LL = \sum_{b=1}^{N_R} \frac{A_b}{LL_b} < 1.0$$

- This defines the Multiples of clearance limits *LL* (sometimes quoted as sum of LL fractions). *A_b* are the radionuclide specific activities in Bq/g and *LL_b* the clearance limits in Bq/g.
- The condition on the LL is the most constraining one.
- The 70% of the Multiples of (Swiss) clearance limits at the CMS periphery is due to ⁵⁴Mn alone (⁵⁴Mn has a clearance limit of 0.1 Bq/g)!



Left: FLUKA estimation of the ⁵⁴Mn production cross sections from natural iron for the particle species dominating the activation processes at the UXC5 periphery .

Right: ⁵⁴Mn cumulative production yield (convolution of production cross section with particle fluence energy spectra) from natural iron for the particle species dominating the activation processes at the UXC5 periphery. The vast majority of ⁵⁴Mn comes from neutrons between 20 MeV and 100 MeV.

Particle fluence energy spectra at the UXC5 periphery





FLUKA simulation of the particle fluence energy spectra at the UXC5 periphery.

Preliminary design studies for the CMS New Forward Shield





- FLUKA simulation of the expected activation levels for steel (worst case) at 4 months in Long Shutdown 3
 - expected reduction of activation levels in the cavern infrastructures (radial distance from beam axis > 8 m) of a factor up to 4 (notice the significant shift of the boundary at which LL=1);
 - calculations performed with the fluence conversion coefficients method;

Simulations for the final CMS New Forward Shield design





- FLUKA simulation of the expected activation levels for steel (worst case) at 4 months in Long Shutdown 3
 - installation assumed in one side of the CMS cavern only;
 - calculations performed with the fluence conversion coefficients method;
 - horizontal and vertical projections (close-up);

Simulations for the final CMS New Forward Shield design



- FLUKA simulation of the expected activation levels for steel (worst case) at 4 months in Long Shutdown 3⁻
 - installation assumed in one side of the CMS cavern only;
 - calculations performed with the fluence conversion coefficients method;
 - Vertical projection over the full UXC5;

Comparison of the shielding effectiveness



 $\cap M$

- FLUKA simulation of the expected activation levels for steel (worst case) at 4 months in Long Shutdown 3
 - Comparison of various shielding designs
 - Standard concrete most cost-effective solution
 - Effectiveness of the final design is optimized in the vertical direction, comparable in horizontal one

CMS Run 3 FLUKA simulation geometry: no shielding





CMS Run 3 FLUKA simulation geometry: preliminary studies









- Radiation protection
 - D. Forkel-Wirth, S. Roesler, M. Silari, M. Streit-Bianchi, C. Theis, H. Vincke, and H. Vincke. Radiation protection at CERN. In: Proc. of the CAS: Course on High Power Hadron Machines, 24 May 2 Jun 2011, Bilbao, Spain (2013). doi: 10.5170/CERN-2013-001.415.
 - Swiss Federal Council. Ordonnance sur la radioprotection (ORaP) du 26 avril 2017. Recueil officiel des lois fédérales, Ordonnance n. 814.501 (2018).
 - S. Roesler. Radiological Control of Material from CERN's Radiation Areas. Tech. rep. CERN EDMS record 942171.
 - D. Forkel-Wirth. Zonage radiologique au CERN. Tech. rep. CERN EDMS record 810149.

• FLUKA

- C. Ahdida, D. Bozzato, D. Calzolari, F. Cerutti, N. Charitonidis, A. Cimmino, A. Coronetti, G. L. D'Alessandro, A. Donadon Servelle, L. S. Esposito, R. Froeschl et al. New Capabilities of the FLUKA Multi-Purpose Code. In: Frontiers in Physics 9 (2022), p. 788253. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2021.788253.
- G. Battistoni, T. Boehlen, F. Cerutti, P. W. Chin, L. S. Esposito, A. Fassò, A. Ferrari, A. Lechner, A. Empl, A. Mairani et al. Overview of the FLUKA code. In: Annals of Nuclear Energy 82 (2015), pp. 10–18. doi: 10.1016/j.anucene.2014.11.007.

• Fluence conversion coefficients method and applications

- R. Froeschl. A method for radiological characterization based on fluence conversion coefficients. In: J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1046.1 (2018), p. 012006. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1046/1/012006.
- D. Bozzato and R. Froeschl. Radiological characterization with a fluence conversion coefficients-based method: a practical example of the preparatory studies to the pilot beam at the CERN Large Hadron Collider. In: Nuclear Science and Engineering (2023). doi:10.1080/00295639.2023.2211191.
- D. Bozzato. Monte Carlo simulations and benchmarks for the radiological characterization of the LHC experiments. PhD Thesis. Karlsruher Institut für Technologie (KIT), 2023. doi:10.5445/IR/1000159308.

Selected HGCAL (heavy) Mechanics Highlights





• Ring forged inner cylinders in HMC-3 Pakistan for final machining.



RPC services installed for upgrade systems



Upgrade the readout "link system" of all RPC chambers

- Improves trigger hit resolution from 25 ns to 1.5 ns
- 1376 link boards, 216 control boards

iRE3/1 and iRE4/1

CERN

- New RPC chamber design
- Provides redundancy in already instrumented forward region
- Electronics adapted to exploit chambers intrinsic < ns time resolution





Backup



1 chamber \approx 1.6 x 1.2 m² trapezoidal shape

	iRPC	RPC	
High Pressure Laminate thickness	1.4 mm	2 mm	
Num. of Gas Gap	2	2	
Gas Gap thickness	1.4 mm	2 mm	
Resistivity (Ωcm)	0.9 - 3 x 10 ¹⁰	1 - 6 x 10 ¹⁰	
Charge threshold	< 50 fC	150 fC	
space resolution (eta)	1.5 cm	20-28 cm	
space resolution (phi) strip pitch driven	0.3-0.6 cm	0.8-1.9 cm	
Intrinsic time resolution	0.5 ns	1.5 ns	



RPC: Resistive Plate Chamber

Upgrades to the TAS region











BCAL/ECAL

CMS Phase-2 Calorimeter performance



Energy linearity



Timing resolution





ECAL Supermodules – photo from 2006 – to be multiplied in LS3









HGCAL

Examples of a high-density (HD) full module & a low-density right (LD-R) partial module







Example HGCAL CE-E cassette







From Physics requirements --> Technical choices

Minimal perturbation

of silicon tiling





Absorber: Brass or SS \rightarrow SS (price + mechanics+HSE)

- Cassettes: Copper (physics and conductivity)
 - Intermed. Wedges: Titanium grade 4 (strength, flexibility, conduction)
- Bolting: High strength stainless steel bolts BUMAX (physics, mechanics)

High loaded wedges and pins: Inconel (mechanics)

working temperature -35°C

High conductivity and density for cassettes



Looking forward to realising HGCAL





Please enjoy this very helpful video;

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BrPQTGGIK08

K. Rapacz et al, 4 minutes long

S/N optimizations for final HGCAL silicon layout



HGCAL considers three thicknesses (120, 200, 300 μ m) and two densities (LD,HD)

Driven by expected exposure to nonionizing radiation (up to > 1.5x10¹⁶n/cm²)

Thickness [µm]	S = MIP _{eq} [ke ⁻ /µm] (PDG-based)	Density	Typ. cell area [cm ²]	C [pF]	V _{dep} [V]
120	67	HD	0.56	48	42
200 70	HD	0.56	24		
	70	LD	1.26	67	120
300	73	LD	1.26	45	263

Experimental irradiation measurements used as data driven input model of S/N over full life of HGCAL in all cell locations in all layers

Model considers:

CERI

- sensors signals evolution (for all types), sensor leakage current, thermal contact and expected annealing behavior.
- full electronics-chain noise contributions

Pre-production 300 um LD sensors already started – largest volume

Production readiness review for high density and partial sensor imminent with corresponding refined layout of sensor boundaries optimized for S/N

driven by limiting the cell capacitance (also correlated with higher particle density in the regions with high fluence)


HGCAL electronics and data flow





ASIC developments: HGCROC, ECON-T/D, LDO & Rafael

Generic components: lpGBT, VTRx+, DCDCs

These are hosted on pcbs: Hexaboards, Engines & Wagons (CE-E/H) & Tileboards (CE-H)

Note: The figure above is for the Si region.

The scintillator region is very similar. It uses a different version of HGCROC ie. HGC2ROCv3 and also uses the SCA for Slow Control and ALDO for SiPM biasing.

Courtesy P. Aspell



Frontend ASICs for HGCAL



HGROC (TSMC130 nm) Submitted for Engineering run by OMEGA; intended to be the production chip

HGCROC:

- Covers full dynamic range of HGCAL, for both silicon and SiPM-on-tile with small adaptations

Analogue architecture:

- Programmable pre-amplifier gain
- ADC for small values: 10-bit 40 MHz SAR
- TOT TDC after preamplifier saturates: 12-bit, 50ps LSB
- Timing: TOA TDC 10-bit and 25ps LSB

Outputs 1.28 Gb/s:

- Trigger primitive data: Sum of 4 (9) channels, linearization, compression to 7-bit floating point
- DAQ event data: 12.5 μs latency buffer (500-deep) for ADC/TOT/TOA.
- 32-event de-randomizer buffer (750 kHz av. trigger rate).

Control:

- Synchronous fast control: 320 MHz (8 bit @ 40 MHz)
- Asynchronous slow control: I2C





Frontend ASICs for HGCAL



HGROC (TSMC130 nm) Submitted for Engineering run by OMEGA; intended to be the production chip

1000

0

မ် စို့ 200



0 25 50 75 100 125 150 175

ADC linearity and noise (w/ 47pF sensor capitance)



ASIC performance on previously submitted prototypes generally very good and irradiation TID and SEE test complete

ASIC packaging being finalized for HD, LD and SiPM on tile versions





Others Front End ASICs of the CMS HGCAL

CMS

Final FE ASIC prototype submitted for ECON trigger & prototype data transfer ASICs in test

ECON-T: frontend concentrator chip for trigger path, concentrates trigger data via one of 4 trigger algorithms

ECON-D: frontend concentrator chip for DAQ path, performs channel alignment and zero suppression after L1 Accept

RAFAEL: clock and fast control fanout, production ASIC submitted

LPGBT: for sending/receiving data/clk/control signals via optical link (and VTRX+)







Going Forward by looking backward - Imaging Calorimetry in the endcap

Idea kindly borrowed from D. Barney





Big European Bubble Chamber 3T; L=3.5m, X₀=34cm



The previous generation of calorimeters could "see" showers! Can we do this again – at 40 MHz and PU=200?







3D topology and $\sigma_t \simeq 20$ ps for 25 GeV/c electrons (test beam 2018) Caollaboration CMS silicon layers and CALICE team for SiPM-on-tile

SiPM on tile modules – automation of preparation of parts



Large detector, 280 000 SiPMs, 280 000 tiles, 3744 pcbs, 3744 assembled tile modules

Cutting table

CER

Tile assembly centers use programmable and vision-controlled tile placement

Tile-modules work as stand-alone detectors: first complete test with ionising particles before integration into more complex cassette environment





HGCAL sensors – radiation qualification







Leena Diehl et al, RD50 Workshop, June 20, 2023

HGCAL 8" silicon sensors – radiation qualification



neutron-irradiated at the novel irradiation facility RINSC up to 1.4.1016 n /cm2



Use 3 neighboring full cells in the current (fluence ϕ) maximum within a full sensor to estimated current related damage rate α with

$$\frac{l}{V} = \alpha \cdot \phi$$



Linear leakage current vs fluence as expected

arXiv:2209.10159

Tooling - Detector structural support during assembly at installation on YE1 Image: Detector assembly Image: Detector assembly



Assembly Table





Cradle connected to assembled HGCAL



Cradle



Cradle interface block

Cradle lowered on HGCAL





Performance



Tracker-2 Performance



p_T resolution



$H \rightarrow \mu \mu$: coupling to muons

- 65% improvement on $m_{\mu\mu}$ in barrel-barrel category (0.65% mass resolution)
- 5% coupling precision possible with 3000fb⁻¹



- More precise tracking parameters
 - Largely due to reduced material budget, thus less multiple scattering
- Extended coverage, allowing e.g. better forward jet reconstruction
 - Helps PU-mitigation, VBF, di-Higgs

See FTR-21-006 for latest analysis



Yes, it is a *b*-quark



Due to excellent pixel detector

- High resolution, very high granularity
- Timing detector improves further



b-lifetime defines pathlengthpathlength identifies **b**-quark



Di-Higgs Production in the $HH \rightarrow bbbb \ decay \ channel$

- +8% acceptance
 - +50-70% efficiency of of 4 b-Quarks-tagging at 200 pile-up

Pixel at small radius(r~3cm) and small Pixels ($25x100\mu m^2$)

– excellent b-	agging
----------------	--------



Vector Boson Fusion VBF - Scattering VBS

- The longitudinal W scattering is one of the essential probes of Higgs mechanism
 - The Higgs unitarizes W_Lscattering that otherwise would be divergent.
- Deviation = new physics
- VBF Distinctive signature: (forward jets from initial state quarks)
 → forward region/extension crucial
 - CMS is doing this with big verve
 - Pixel, Muons, Calorimeter, Timing

 $V_{L}V_{L} \rightarrow V_{L}V_{L}$ discovery significance up to 2.75 σ (combining WWjj+WZjj)







new Muon system improved rate and timing capabilities



- Rates, efficiencies, precision
 much better, more flexible trigger
- Allows trigger on displaced tracks
 - No vertex constraint
 - New physics!?!?





RPC-2 time resolution 1ns (today 25ns)

Identify 'slow" Heavy Stable Charged Particle



LLP Long Lived particle (NEW)

RPC Resistive Plate Chamber – a Muon detector

CERN-LHCC-2017-012 CMS-TDR-016



Improved muons performance & acceptance in forward region







Higgs into 4 leptons: acceptance increase ~17%





CERN-LHCC-2017-012 CMS-TDR-016



Impact of HGCAL performance & acceptance on Vector Boson Fusion (VBF) jet reconstruction





VBF benefits from forward extension





* Phase-2 Offline and Computing Upgrade is with Offline and Computing Coordination





CERN





CERN

Preliminary HL-LHC optimistic schedule



