





Ludwik Turko for the NA61/SHINE Collaboration

Sixth Biennial "Workshop on Discovery Physics at the LHC" Kruger 2022





- Criticalities in Hadronic Matter
- Signatures of Criticalities in HIC
- NA61/SHINE experiment



- Predictions and Results
 - Phase Transition Effects
 - Deconfinement Effects
 - Onset of the QGP

Hadronic Matter - Phase Diagrams



μ

 μ_c



Theoretical predictions of signatures for phase transition at SPS and its volume dependence



$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 n}{\mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}m_{\mathrm{T}}} = A \, m_{\mathrm{T}} \exp{-\frac{m_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{T}}}; m_{\mathrm{T}} = \sqrt{p_{\mathrm{T}}^2 + m_{\mathrm{T}}^2}$$

NA6I/SHINE - UNIQUE MULTIPURPOSE FACILITY: Hadron production in hadron-nucleus and nucleus-nucleus collisions at high energies

CERN Drive

BEAMLINE

ACCELERATORS

annes and the state of the second

Members



Full

- ¹ National Nuclear Research Center, Baku, Azerbaijan
- 2 Faculty of Physics, University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria
- 3 Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
- 4 LPNHE, University of Paris VI and VII, Paris, France
- 5 Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany
- ⁶ University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- ⁷ Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary
- ⁸ Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- ⁹ Institute for Particle and Nuclear Studies, Tsukuba, Japan
- ¹⁰ Okayama University, Japan
- ¹¹ University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
- ¹² University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- ¹³ Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Poland
- ¹⁴ Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
- ¹⁵ National Centre for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland
- ¹⁶ Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland
- ¹⁷ AGH University of Science and Technology, Cracow, Poland
- ¹⁸ University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland
- ¹⁹ University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
- ²⁰ University of Wrocław, Wrocław, Poland
- ²¹ Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
- ²² Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia
- 23 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia
- 24 National Research Nuclear University, Moscow, Russia
- 25 St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ²⁶ University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
- $^{\rm 27}$ Fermilab, Batavia, USA
- $^{\rm 28}$ University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame , USA
- ²⁹ University of Colorado, Boulder, USA
- ³⁰ University of Hawaii at Manoa, USA
- ³¹ University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA



Limited

- 1 University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- 2 Los Alamos National Laboratory, USA
- 3 Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Frankfurt, Germany
- 4 Fachhochschule Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- 5 University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁶ CERN, Geneva, Switzerland



Located at the CERN SPS

Successor of NA49

Large acceptance spectrometer for fixed target experiment on primary (ions) and secondary (ions, hadrons) beams

Data taking since 2009

NA61/SHINE is the second largest non-LHC experiment at CERN



Physics program

Strong interactions program

- •search for the critical point of strongly interacting matter
- •study of the properties of the onset of deconfinement
- •study high p T particles production (energy dependence of nuclear modification factor)

Hadron-production measurements for neutrino experiments

 reference measurements of p+C interactions for the T2K experiment for computing initial neutrino fluxes at J-PARC

Hadron-production measurements for cosmic ray experiments

•reference measurements of p+C, p+p, π +C, and K+C interactions for cosmic-ray physics (Pierre-Auger and KASCADE experiments) for improving air shower simulations





HIC programs @ SPS energy range
RHIC Beam Energy Scan (BNL, Brookhaven),
NICA (JINR, Dubna),
SIS-100/300 (FAIR GSI, Darmstadt)
NA61/SHINE (CERN)

HIC programs to perform a two-dimensional scan in beam momentum and mass number of colliding nuclei

•NA61/SHINE (CERN)



This gives

- better analysis of spectra
- better analysis of fluctuations due to the CP (critical point), which show up mainly in low p_{τ} particles



Detector upgrades

Requied:

- I. Construction of a new Large Acceptance Vertex Detector.
- II. Replacement of the TPC read-out electronics.
- III. Construction of a new trigger and data acquisition system.
- IV. Upgrade of the Projectile Spectator Detector.



Desirable:

The construction of new Time-of-Flight detectors



NA61/SHINE Facility Upgraded



Upgrading - effects



Very substantial noise visible



Upgrading - effects



• Very substantial noise visible





Significant increase in the TPC raw data quality - new electronics

 First physics running after detector upgrade with a replica of target used to generate neutrinos in the beamline at J-PARC for T2K



Possible beams

<u>Hadrons</u>

- I. Primary protons at 400 GeV/c
- II. Secondary (π ,K,p) at 13-350 GeV/c

<u>lons</u>

- I. Primary: Ar, Xe, Pb at 13A-150A GeV/c
- II. Secondary from Pb fragmentation (e.g. Be) a 13A-150A GeV/c

Targets

- I. Almost any solid state (from 500 μ m to 1 m)
- II. Liquid hydrigen (20cm)

S...INE Data taking – strong interaction program



beam momentum [A GeV/c]

SINE Evidence for phase transition at SPS and its volume dependence - *the Step*

Onset of deconfinement: step





- Qualitatively similar energy dependence is seen in p+p, Be+Be, Ar+Sc and Pb+Pb.
- Magnitude of T increases with the system size.

- NA61/SHINE, EPJC 81, 1, 73, 2021 and Ar+Sc preliminary results
- Sensitive to both the temperature and the radial flow.
- Kaons are only weakly affected by re-scattering and resonance decays during the post-hydro phase (at SPS and RHIC energies).
- Connected with the temperature of the freeze-out surface and not the early-stage fireball.



Evidence for phase transition at SPS and its volume dependence – the *Kink*

Onset of deconfinement: kink





- N+N interactions agree well with the world data.
- Be+Be collisions are mostly between measurements from N+N and Pb+Pb collisions.
- Ar+Sc collisions seem to be systematically higher than the results for N+N, Be+Be and Pb+Pb collisions at the lower energies.
- Ar+Sc close to the Pb+Pb results at the highest energies.

NA61/SHINE, EPJC 81, 5, 397, 2021

Evidence for phase transition at SPS and its volume dependence – the *Horn*





- Be+Be close to p+p in K^+/π^+ .
- No horn-like structure in Ar+Sc.

- NA61/SHINE, EPJC 81, 1, 73, 2021 and Ar+Sc preliminary results
- $p+p \approx Be+Be \neq Ar+Sc \ll Pb+Pb$
- Good measure of the strangeness to entropy ratio which is different in the confined phase (hadrons) and the QGP (quarks, anti-quarks and gluons) → probe of the onset of deconfinement

STANE Transverse momentum fluctuations



- Search for the critical point of strongly interacting matter
- No sign of any anomaly that can be attributed to the critical point (neither in p+p nor Be+Be)

SVINE Evidence for phase transition at SPS and its volume dependence - *Transverse momentum fluctuations*



No signs of critical behaviour



Rapid changes in system size dependence

1. Be+Be results are very close to p+p at different collision energies. 2. It seems as cluster (fireball) size rapidly increases – jumps above Be+Be size collisions.



Scaled multiplicity fluctuations

versus mean number of wounded nucleons <W>

Rapid changes in system size dependence



Scaled multiplicity and energy fluctuations of negatively charged hadrons undergo rapid changes between BeBe and ArSc sizes

With the increasing size of colliding systems light clusters are produced more and more copiously, as at some densitythey start to overlap – to reach *percolation* threshold.

Effect does not depend on energy

- only on the size of the system.

Multiplicity and net-charge fluctuations in p+p, Be+Be and Ar+Sc collisions



$$\kappa_{1} = \langle N \rangle$$

$$\kappa_{2} = \langle (\delta N)^{2} \rangle = \sigma^{2}$$

$$\kappa_{3} = \langle (\delta N)^{3} \rangle = S\sigma^{3}$$

$$\kappa_{4} = \langle (\delta N)^{4} \rangle - 3 \langle (\delta N)^{2} \rangle^{2} = K\sigma^{4}$$
where:

N – multiplicity; $\delta N = N - \langle N \rangle$; σ – standard deviation; S – skewness; K – kurtosis.

- In case of h-, only the scaled variance show significant differences between heavier and lighter systems.
- In case of net-electric charge, the scaled skewness and scaled kurtosis indicate non-monotonic behaviour.
- Currently, analysis is focused on reducing the considerable systematic uncertainties.

Models versus data or data versus models



- Onset of fireball rapid change of observables when going from small (p+p, Be+Be) to intermediate (Ar+Sc) and large ones (Pb+Pb) → beginning of the creation of large clusters of strongly interacting matter?
- None of the models reproduce K^+/π^+ ratio nor T for whole $\langle W \rangle$ range.

PHSD: EPJA 56, 9, 223, 2020, arXiv:1908.00451 and private communication; SMASH: JPG 47, 6, 065101, 2020 and private communication; UrQMD and HRG: PRC 99, 3, 034909, 2019; SMES: APPB 46, 10, 1991, 2015 p+p: NA61/SHINE, EPJC 77, 10, 671, 2017; Be+Be: NA61/SHINE, EPJC 81, 1, 73, 2021; Ar+Sc: NA61/SHINE preliminary; Pb+Pb: NA61/SHINE, PRC 66, 054902, 2002.





$$\begin{split} \kappa_{1} &= \langle N \rangle \\ \kappa_{2} &= \langle (\delta N)^{2} \rangle = \sigma^{2} \\ \kappa_{3} &= \langle (\delta N)^{3} \rangle = S\sigma^{3} \\ \kappa_{4} &= \langle (\delta N)^{4} \rangle - 3 \langle (\delta N)^{2} \rangle^{2} = K\sigma^{4} \\ \text{where:} \end{split}$$

N – multiplicity; $\delta N = N - \langle N \rangle$; σ – standard deviation; S – skewness; K – kurtosis.

- In case of h-, only the scaled variance show significant differences between heavier and lighter systems.
- In case of net-electric charge, the scaled skewness and scaled kurtosis indicate non-monotonic behaviour.
- Currently, analysis is focused on reducing the considerable systematic uncertainties.

Proton and charged hadron intermittency in Ar+Sc and Pb+Pb collisions





$$F_r(M) = \frac{\left\langle \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M n_m(n_m-1)...(n_m-r+1) \right\rangle}{\left\langle \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M n_m \right\rangle^r},$$

where $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes averaging over events, M is the number of cells.



- Statistically independent points, cumulative variables.
- If the system freezes-out in the vicinity of the critical point, F₂(M) should reveal a power-law dependence → not observed in these analyses.
- Work on more advanced methodology ongoing.

Proton intermittency analysis result

(Experimental result on fluctuations as a function of momentum bin size)



Quark Matter 2022 https://indico.cern.ch/event/895086/contributions/4555252/

No indication for power-law increase with bin size

- 2D scan in system size and collision energy was completed in 2017 with Xe+La.
- NA61/SHINE delivers reach information related to the onset of deconfinement in the light and medium-size system.
- The onset of fireball unexpected system size dependence.
- So far no convincing indication of the critical point.
- Detector upgrade almost done, open charm measurements starting this year.

Possible interpretation of the data

 $K^+/\pi^+~(y=0)$





Ideas for 2027+

- Study of the diagram of high-energy nuclear collisions energy scan with low and medium mass ions
- Measurements of heavy hadrons and resonances in large statistics p+p interactions
- Measurements with anti-proton beams
- Primary beams of ${}^{10}B$, ${}^{16}O$, ${}^{24}Mg$ and ${}^{40}Ar$ are considered
- Secondary ⁴*He* beam under consideration