

Grazyna Odyniec

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

1. Introduction and motivations

- 2. Phase I some results
 - what questions are we able to answer?**
- 3. Phase II* (completion of BES program)

*work in progress

**some light at the end of the tunnel ... ?



Beam Energy Scan at RHIC: $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 5-50$ GeV



160 MeV $\leq \mu_B \leq 500$ MeV

We built RHIC to find QGP. **And we did it !**

but,

QGP- new and complicated phase of matter with unique and unexpected properties
Huge progress in understanding its nature:
@high energy – cross over transition
@lower – should be 1st order transition
→ critical point could exist (?)

BES program was born

With RHIC beams:

- (1) Study properties of sQGP
- (2) Map out QCD matter phase structure Structure of QCD matter phase diagram is

<u>fundamental</u>

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

(will be in text books in future decades)

Three BES goals:

- 1. Search for the QCD critical point
- 2. Search for the signals of 1st order phase transition (/phase boundary)
- 3. Search for turn-off of sQGP signatures

Year	√s _{nn} (GeV)	Events (10 ⁶)
2010	39	130
2011	27	70
2011	19.6	36
2010	11.5	12
2010	7.7	5
+ 2012	5	test

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012

Where are we probing on the QCD Phase Diagram ?





Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

The Solenoid Tracker At RHIC (STAR)

Magnet

TPC

upVPD

EEMC

BBC

Perfect mid-y Collider Experiment

- large coverage: $-1 < \eta < 1 \& 2\pi$ in azimuth
- uniform acceptance vs $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$
- excellent particle identification
- fast DAQ

BEMC

TOF

Identified Particle Acceptance at STAR



At collider geometry - similar acceptance for all particles and energies *Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL*

Particle Identification



Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Environment

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Spectra: π, K, p



 π , K, p yields within measured p_T ranges: 70-80% of total yields

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Spectra : strange hadrons



Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012

Chemical freeze-out parameters extracted from spectra and ratios of measured particles with THERMUS fits

(Wheaton and Cleymans, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180, 84 (2009)



BES data (Phase I) extends relevant region of QCD Phase Diagram from μ_B = 20 MeV to ~ 400 MeV ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =7.7 GeV) centrality dependence of μ_B still under study

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

BES: Experimental Program

STAR: <u>http://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/starnotes/public/sn0493</u>, arXiv:1007.2613

Obsevables:

- (1) indications of the existence of Critical Point
 - fluctuation measures
 - higher moments of conserved quantities (net proton distribution -> kurtosis)
 - particle ratio fluctuations
- (2) signatures of phase transition (softening of EOS)
 - azimuthally-sensitive femtoscopy
 - direct flow v₁
 - ...
- (3) disappearance of signals of partonic degrees of freedom seen at 200 GeV
 - disappearance of constituent-quark-number scaling of v_2
 - disappearance of hadron suppression in central collisions
 - dynamical charge fluctuations (old name: local parity violation)

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

the easiest one

3. Turn off signatures of QGP

Do QGP signatures (v₂, R_{cp}, ...) turn-off?

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Anisotropic flow



Initial spatial anisotropy is determined by impact parameter and initial fluctuations

In early collision stages, spatial anisotropy is converted by gradient pressure and scattering to momentum anisotropy.

$$\frac{dN}{d\varphi} \propto \left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} v_n \cos\left[n(\varphi - \psi_n)\right]\right)$$
$$v_n = \left\langle\cos n\left(\varphi - \psi_n\right)\right\rangle, \quad n = 1, 2, 3...,$$

 v_1 : directed flow v_2 : elliptic flow v_3 : triangular flow.....

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL



Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Identified Particle Elliptic Flow @ 200 GeV



with lowering energy, disappearance of n_q scaling (≈disaperance of partonic degree of freedom) would suggest that we <u>exit partonic world</u>

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

BES: v_2 of identified particles vs energy **STAR Preliminary** 11.5 GeV 19.6 GeV 7.7 GeV 0.2⊦ Оp *****π Au+Au, 0-80% ΔΛ **□**K⁴ ΔΞ **Φ**K_s⁰ η-sub EP **□**Ω[¯] **▼**φ 0.1 2 0.2 27 GeV 39 GeV 62.4 GeV 0.1 2 З 40 2 3 40 2 3 1 1 0 $m_T - m_0 (GeV/c^2)$ Baryon vs. meson splitting for particles decreases as we go down in $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL



Baryon vs. meson splitting for *anti*particles disappears at energies ≤11.5 GeV (within errors)

BES: n_a scaling with energy - particles



 n_{q} scaling holds within ~10% separately for particles and *anti*particles, except ϕ ϕ meson becomes outlier at lowest two energies – but large error bars *Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL*



Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012

$\Delta v_2 = v_2$ (particle)- v_2 (*anti*particle)



remarkable difference between particle and antiparticle is observed -> break down of N_q scaling between particles and *anti*particles at lower energies

 ΔV_2 .

- is larger for baryons than for mesons
- nonlinear increase with decrease of \sqrt{s}_{NN}
- study a role of baryon transport to mid-rapidity and hadronic potentials:

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

J. Xu et al., PRC **85**, 041901 (2012) J. Dunlop et al., PRC **84**, 044914 (2011).



R_{CP}>1 for 27 GeV and below - high p_t suppression seen at 200 GeV is gone

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

BES: R_{cp} for charged particles

QM 2012:



HIJING without jet quenching, but including Cronin effect (though k_T broadening) resembles $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ dependence at low energies Change in QGP/HG/nuclear matter opacity vs. $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$? role of Cronin effect under investigation

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

impact of Cronin effect on nuclear modification factor ... (a qualitative illustration)



Particle ratios scaled by $p+p(R_{AA})$ and $p+W(R_{AA/pA})$:

p+p, p+W data (Fermilab): D.Antreasyan et al., Phys.Rev.D19, 764 (1979)

Cronin effect leads to apparent enhancement of R_{AA} at high pt Similar effect on nuclear modification factor as lack of QGP energy loss Work in progress *Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL*



Baryon-meson splitting reduces with decrease of energy and at 7.7 is gone, indicating decreasing partonic effects at lower energies For $K_{pt>2 \text{ GeV/c}}^0$: $R_{CP}<1$ for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}>19$ GeV and >1 for $\sqrt{s_{NN}}<11.5$ GeV *Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL*

Dynamical charge correlations ("local parity violation")



- (1) Under strong magnetic field, when the system is in the state of deconfinement and chiral symmetry restoration is reached, local fluctuation may lead to local parity violation.
- (2) Experimentally one would observe the separation of the charges in high-energy nuclear collisions.
- (3) Observed signature at top RHIC energies has excellent statistical significance for AuAu, UU and CuCu
 - If interpretation is correct, disappearance of signal would be new signature for turnoff of deconfinement

Dynamical charge correlation signal vs. $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$



Splitting between same and opposite-sign charges decreases with decreasing $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ and disappears below $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 11.5$ GeV *Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL*

Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012

what did we learned on #3 (turn off signatures of QGP):

These observations:

- baryon/meson grouping for *antiparticles stars* to collapse at 11.5 GeV
- disappearance of high p_t suppression
- disappearance of charge separation
- break down of N_q scaling between particles and *anti*particles
- local parity violation decreases with decrease of $\sqrt{s_{_{
 m NN}}}$

• ...

indicate that hadronic interactions become dominant at lower beam energies

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

the most exciting ...

1. Critical Point

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

CP: Why fluctuations and correlations ?

Theory:

System at the QCD critical point region is expected to show a sharp increase in the correlation length, thus <u>large non-statistical fluctuations</u>

 \longrightarrow search for increase (/discontinuities) in fluctuations and correlations as function of $\sqrt{s}_{\rm NN}$ $_{\odot}$

Fluctuations maximized at Critical Point



Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Fluctuations near CP

T. Andrews. Phil. Trans. Royal Soc., 159:575, 1869



CO₂ near liquid-gas transition (critical opalescence)

Promising observables:

Particle ratio fluctuations: K/ π , p/ π , K/p Conserved numbers (B,Q,S) fluctuations

- higher moments of net-protons and net-charge

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

event-by-event particle ratio fluctuations



$$\nu_{\rm dyn,K\pi} = \frac{\left\langle N_K \left(N_K - 1 \right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_K \right\rangle^2} + \frac{\left\langle N_\pi \left(N_\pi - 1 \right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_\pi \right\rangle^2} - 2\frac{\left\langle N_K N_\pi \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_K \right\rangle \left\langle N_\pi \right\rangle}$$

$$\sigma_{dyn} = sign(\sigma_{data}^2 - \sigma_{mixed}^2) \sqrt{\left|\sigma_{data}^2 - \sigma_{mixed}^2\right|}$$

$$\sigma_{dyn}^2 \approx v_{dyn}$$



STAR Preliminary <u>10²</u> Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012







- Higher moments of conserved quantities measure non-Gaussian nature of fluctuations and are more sensitive (than variance σ^2) to CP induced fluctuations (\rightarrow to correlation length) - <u>Non-monotonic behavior</u> could be indicative of the QCD critical

Similar behavior at 39, 62 and 200 GeV
 Deviations below Poisson baseline in 0-5% central collisions, and above Poisson baseline in peripheral collisions below 19.6 GeV

(could be due to other correlation sources)

- UrQMD shows monotonic behavior vs \sqrt{s}

- Data points below 19.6 GeV have large uncertainties -> prevents conclusions (presently)

for BES phase-II

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Current and projected uncertainties on net-proton kurtosis x variance



what did we learned on #1 (Critical Pont):

<u>Deviations</u> of moment products in central Au+Au collisions from Poisson expectations <u>are observed</u>

Uncertainties of current results on higher moments (particularly at 19.6 GeV and bellow) prevents us from drawing conclusions

→ more statistics – BES II

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

the equivalent of CP ... (!)

2. Phase transition

can we demonstrate the softening of EOS?

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Directed flow (v_1) of identified particles

 v_1 probes early stage of collision, sensitive to compression, should be sensitive to 1st order phase transition; change of sign in the slope of dv_1/dy for protons has been proposed to be a probe to the softening of EOS and/or the first-order phase transition ... *L.P.Csernai*, *D.Rohrich*, *PLB* 458,454 (1999)

H.Stocker, NP A750, 121 (2005) J.Brachmann et al., PRC 61, 24909(2000)



Proton v_1 slope at midrapidity changes sign (7.7 and 11.5 GeV) \rightarrow softening of EOS (1st order PT?) @ 39 GeV all measured v_1 values follow trend observed at higher RHIC energies Note, the difference between protons and antiprotons

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Search for softening of EOS – directed flow





@ mid-central (10-40%) Au+Au collisions : pions (+,-), kaons (+,-) and anti-p slope is always negative (7.7-39 GeV) proton slope changes sign from positive to negative between 7.7 and 11.5 GeV, it remains small but

negative up to 200 GeV

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

STAR, QM 2012

EOS softening ? - comparison with transport models



- Protons v_1 consistent with "collapse" hydro predictions

- Net-protons signal should correspond to only stopped participants

<u>Net-proton v₁ changes sign twice</u> in the measured energy region, and shows a minimum around 11.5-19.6 GeV, coming up ~ 27 GeV, suggestive of a <u>change in compressibility</u>

Transport models UrQMD and AMPT
 can not reproduce observed net-proton v₁ slope
 Other physics sources are under investigation

<u>Theory:</u> more input <u>Experiment</u>: BES Phase II – more statistics, centrality dependence, ...

Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012



azimuthal – HBT

provides info about shape of particle emitting source

Freeze-out shape of participant zone in non-central collisions is sensitive to EOS:

- Initial out-of-plane eccentricity
- Stronger in-plane pressure gradients drive in-plane expansion (-> more spherical freeze-out shape)
- Measure eccentricity at freeze-out as function of energy:

$$\varepsilon_F = \frac{R_y^2 - R_x^2}{R_y^2 + R_x^2}$$

• Expectation: excitation function for freeze-out eccentricity to fall monotonically with increasing energy

Non-monotonic behavior could indicate a change in EOS (softening ?) -> 1st order phase transition

M.Lisa et al., New J.Phys. 13 (2011) 065006

Measurements prior to BES



possible minimum follow by the rise?

speculations/explanations: softening of EOS due to entrance into mixed phase above some energy, observed as plateau or minimum in excitation function *M.Lisa et al., New J.Phys.* 13 (2011) 065006 *Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012*

Excitation function (BES points included) Azimuthal HBT for freeze-out eccentricity



Measured freeze-out eccentricity parameters show a smooth decrease from low to high energies consistent with monotonically decreasing shape

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

So, what have we learned from BES Phase-I STAR and RHIC excellent performance down to 7.7 GeV (5 ?)

BES Phase-I data sets (39, 27, 19.6, 11.5 and 7.7 GeV) cover important region of QCD phase diagram with sufficient statistics for initial survey

Several key sQGP signatures <u>NOT seen at low energies</u>: but it is rather coarse coverage

 $v_2(m_T - m_0)$ exhibits well-known baryon-meson splitting, but splitting is smaller at low $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ v_2 for particles & antiparticles diverges strongly at low $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$

high p_t suppression R_{CP} disappears at low $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$, under investigation

charge separation signal disappears at low $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$, interpretation unclear

Interesting hints:

fluctuations are constant or monotonic with energy from 7.7 to 200 GeV higher moments of net-protons deviates from Poisson baseline dv_1/dy of net-protons (directed flow) changes sign with $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$: softening of EOS ? freeze-out eccentricity (aHBT) monotonically decreases with energy

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

RHIC's energy range is special ...

RICH sits at a "sweet spot" in energy, in which rapid changes occur in a number of signatures for energies up to approximately <u>30 GeV</u>, while remaining surprisingly stable beyond that over the two orders of magnitude to the LHC

- → so, did we answer our "three" questions ?
- 1. turn-off of QGP signatures ? clear evidence no need to search above 19.6
- 2. Evidence of the first order phase transition ? hints lower end of range
- 3. Search for the critical point ? ?! MORE statistics !!!

Good chance for Au Au at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 15 GeV in 2013

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Answering remaining questions - BES II

→ STAR will have BES Phase-II program of precision measurements to map out QCP phase structure with order of magnitude increase in data samples

√S _{NN} (GeV)	19.6	15	11.5	7.7
$\mu_{\rm B}({\rm GeV})$	205	250	315	420
BES I (MEvts)	36		12	5
BES II (MEvts)	400	100	120	80

But that's a lot of data... at current rates, this would take ~70 weeks of RHIC operations!

needed electron cooling device for RHIC HI beams

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Improvements for BES phase II

-1.7<η≰1.7



but, BES Phase-II will not happen very soon ...



$\mu_{\rm B}$ extended range in STAR due to fixed target program



Fixed-target running allows much higher rates without e-cooling at lower energies

√s_{NN} (GeV)

4.5

4.0

3.5

3.0

2.5

Fixed-target mode

μ_B (MeV)

585

625

670

720

775

Minimal impact on concurrent operation

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL



On much faster scale: Fixed target in STAR



Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Target Sheet:

OD: 2.4" (6.10 cm)

ID: 1.57" (4.00cm)

Thickness: 10 mil (0.25 mm)

Gold

Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012

³ inch (7.62 cm) Aluminum Beam Pipe

Aluminum with steel set screws

Mounting Bars:

and springs 1.2 " x 0.3" x 0.2" the real design:



3 inch (7.62 cm) Aluminum beam pipe

because kicker magnet, used to dump the beam, works in horizontal plane

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Concurrent running in STAR



Fixed-target

we already have experience with running STAR in the fixed-target mode (!) and with analyzing data

STAR capabilities

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Data driven performance study

Au+Al at 3.85 GeV/c (from data set Au+Au at 7.7 GeV) – Samantha Brovko/UC Davis Samantha's studies: Acceptance, PID, Spectra, vertexing, etc



Remember, the BES trigger was designed to eliminate triggers like Au+Al events BBC coincident should not allow for it

Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Au+Al (Au of 3.85 GeV on beam-pipe) -> $\sqrt{s_{Fixed-Target}}$ =2.98 GeV

2.8 AGeV Au+Al: Spectra π^+ and π^-



- No efficiency or acceptance corrections
 - Currently in progress
- o Comparison to UrQMD suggests high efficiency for $\pi^{+/-}$

needs embedding for fixed target

Sam Brovko

Grazyna Odyniec/LBINL

Fixed target at STAR

STAR will have adequate coverage (from mid-rapidity to target rapidity) in fixed-target mode, which is sufficient for some BES studies (detailed analysis of limitations in progress)

Main detectors TPC and TOF tested, work in progress on EEMC/BEMC, and trigger Tracking, vertexing, PID reasonable, may be improved with optimization

An internal fixed target can be used to take collisions with beam halo at injection energy, which will provide collisions at approximately $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ of 5 GeV (data point missing from existing BES data)

If successful – this may open a way for fixed target runs with other beams used in BES program in collider mode experiments ($\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 3.5 and 3 GeV, μ_B up to 800 MeV)



Grazyna Odyniec/LBNL

Kruger 2012, South Africa, December 2012

500 750 1 1 Chemical Potentia

Exploration of QCD phase diagram is in VERY good shape !



