### Jet suppression in Pb+Pb collisions with the ATLAS detector



Martin Rybář, for the ATLAS collaboration



Hard Probes 2013, November 4, 2013

IPNP Charles University



# Jets in Heavy Ion Collisions

- Jets provide a powerful tool to probe the hot and dense medium created in HI collisions.
- RHIC's measurements of single high  $p_{T}$  particles: the first evidence for jet quenching.
- Need to do the full jet reconstruction to understand the quenching in more details.

p+p

A+A

- The first ATLAS Pb+Pb paper: significant increase of the number of collisions with a large di-jet asymmetry with increasing collision centrality: arXiv:1011.6182, Phys. Rev. Let. 105, 252303
- How do partons loose energy in QGP?
- Better understanding of QCD in the limit of high densities and temperatures.
- How does the medium modify the parton showers?



3

# Jets in Heavy Ion Collisions

- Jets provide a powerful tool to probe the hot and dense medium created in HI collisions.
- RHIC's measurements of single high  $p_{\tau}$  particles: the first evidence for jet quenching.
- Need to do the full jet reconstruction to understand the quenching in more details.





# **The ATLAS Detector**



- ATLAS, a general-purpose p-p experiment, is also an excellent detector for heavy ion physics!
- Large pseudorapidity coverage and full azimuthal acceptance.
- Fine granularity and longitudinal segmentation.
- Precise inner detector in a 2T solenoid field.
- Extensive system of muon chambers placed inside a 1T toroid field.





### Centrality



- Characterize centrality by percentile of total cross-section using total E<sub>1</sub>.
- Measured in Forward Calorimeter (3.2<|η|<4.9).</li>
- Centrality  $\rightarrow$  number of participants
  N<sub>part</sub> and binary collisions N<sub>coll</sub>.







## Jet Reconstruction at ATLAS



- Reconstruction algorithm: anti-k, with R=0.2, 0.3 and 0.4.
- Input: calorimeter towers 0.1 x 0.1 ( $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi$ ).
- Event-by-event background subtraction:

 $E_{T_j}^{sub} = E_{T_j} - A_j \ \rho_i(\eta_j) \left(1 + 2v_{2i} \cos\left[2\left(\phi_j - \Psi_2\right)\right]\right)$ 

- $\implies$  Anti-k, reconstruction prior to a background subtraction.
- $\rightarrow$  Underlying event estimated for each longitudinal layer and  $\eta$  slice separately.
- We exclude jet candidates with  $D = E_{T tower}^{max} / \langle E_{T tower} \rangle > 4$  to avoid biasing subtraction from jets but no jet rejection based on *D*.
- Additional iteration step to remove residual effect of the jets on the background estimation.
- Jets corrected for flow contribution.



7

## Performance of the Jet Reconstruction



 Performance is evaluated using pp hard scattering events from Pythia overlying on top of HIJING MB events without quenching.



- JER is well described by  $\sigma(\Delta E_T)/E_T = 1/E_T(a.\sqrt{E_T}+b+c.E_T)$ where parameter *b* is consistent with the result from the fluctuation analysis.
- The performance have been also verified using data overlay with similar results.



### **Data and MC**



- Three data sets were used:
- Pb+Pb data recorded in 2011 with integrated luminosity of 0.14 nb<sup>-1</sup>.
- Pb+Pb data recorded in 2010 with integrated luminosity of 7  $\mu$ b<sup>-1</sup>.
- High level jet triggers (HLT) seeded by L1 minimum bias (MB) triggers were used to select events in 2011 and only MB triggers for 2010 data.

- Jet trigger algorithm required a R=0.2 jet with  $E_{T} > 20$  GeV.
- All events were required to satisfy MB events selection: good timing and vertex.
- MC Pythia di-jet events embedded into MC HIJING and data overlay were used for performance evaluation.





# Inclusive jet production



- The jet suppression was quantified by to different variables:
- $R_{CP} = \frac{1/N_{coll}^{cent}}{1/N_{coll}^{periph}} \frac{1/N_{evnt}^{cent} dN/dE_{T}}{1/N_{evnt}^{periph} dN/dE_{T}}$
- We measured the R<sub>CP</sub> for different jet radii to study the role of radiative energy loss.
- SVD unfolding was used to account effect of bin migration caused by detector and UE effects.
  - Small effect on R=0.2 jets.
  - $R_{CP}$  is reduced for R=0.4 by factor of two for low  $E_{T}$  jets.





## **Centrality Dependence of Jet R**



- Factor of 2 suppression in central with respect to peripheral collisions.
- The increase is linear for high  $p_{\tau}$ , quick turns on at low  $p_{\tau}$ .
- Similar result is observed also for other jet radii.



 $\implies$  The jet suppression factor shows small variation with the jet  $p_{\tau}$ .



# Jet R<sub>CP</sub> as a Function of Jet Radius



# R<sub>CP</sub> – R dependence



Less suppression for jets with larger R.



# Azimuthal dependence of jet yields

HILV SALT TO SALT

- Path length dependence of jet suppression
- Ratios of yields in different slices of  $\Delta \varphi = \varphi^{jet} - \Psi_{\gamma}$  with respect to  $\Delta \varphi = 0 - \pi/8$





 $\rightarrow$  ~15% reduction in plane yields with respect to out of plane yields.









Consistent result with the measurement using single high-p<sub>+</sub> particles

15



### **Jet Structure**



Ζ

We measured two sets of fragmentation distributions describing the jet structure:





### **D(z)** centrality dependence





Shaded bands uncorrelated or partially correlated systematic errors: regularization, JES, JER, tracking efficiency, non-zero central to peripheral ration of D(z) and D( $p_{\tau}$ ) in MC.

#### Solid lines

100% correlated systematic errors: tracking efficiency.

~15% suppression at intermediate z (~0.1) and 25% enhancement at very low z (~0.02).

No strong modification at large z (↔ leading parton) in central collisions with respect to peripheral ones.



# $D(p_{\tau})$ centrality dependence



Shaded bands: uncorrelated or partially correlated systematic errors Solid lines:

100% correlated systematic errors

Similar behaviour as for D(z) distribution.



### Conclusions



- Energy imbalance in the di-jet system is strongly increasing with increasing centrality.
- Suppression by a factor of 2 is observed in jet yield in central with respect to peripheral collisions.
- The dependence of the  $R_{CP}$  is very weak on jet  $p_{T}$
- Less suppression is observed for jets with larger R parameters.
- Azimuthal dependence of jet yields exhibits a clear path length dependence.
- Study of jet internal structure shows increasing size of modifications of fragmentation functions with increasing centrality.







# R<sub>CP</sub> – Systematics



JES: Relative energy scale differences central and peripheral

JER: Possible disagreement between data and MC in UE fluctuations Efficiency: cover possible MC/data differences, 5% for pT < 100 GeV

Xini: Sensitivity to power in power law: +0.5, -0.5  $R_{coll}$ : sensitive to centrality determination,  $\sigma_{_{NN}}$  Regularization:

Sensitivity to choice of k:+/-1



### Subtracted E<sub>+</sub>



Mean subtracted energy as a function of asymmetry



- no asymmetry dependence
- amount of subtracted energy for leading and sub-leading jet is comparable



### Study of Background Fluctuations

- Study physics of underlying event fluctuations → it can provide a basic information about correlations in the underlying event.
- Independent validation of JER.
- The size of fluctuations is characterized by standard deviation  $\sigma = \sqrt{\langle E_T^2 \rangle - \langle E_T \rangle^2}$  and plotted as a function of FCal  $\Sigma E_{\tau}$ .
- A very good agreement between data and MC.







# **Background Fluctuations**



- Fluctuations are measured in single towers and also in larger windows comparable to the area of jet:
  - 7x7 towers ~ R = 0.4 jets.
  - 4x3 towers ~ R = 0.2 jets.



- An agreement between data and MC is better than 5% for R=0.2 jets.
- Fluctuations in data are at most 5% higher than in MC for R=0.4 jets.
- Fluctuations are higher in MC in the most central events.



# **Detail study of Underlying Event**

Data and MC are compared in a narrow bin of FCal ΣE<sub>1</sub>:



- HIJING over-predicts the size of upward fluctuations.
- HIJING over-predicts the size of downward fluctuations in central collisions.
- Where the spread in fluctuations is larger in data than in MC it is because data has larger downward fluctuations.



### Azimuthal dependence of jet yields: JES and JER



### Azimuthal dependence of jet yields: Systematic uncertainties





### Fragmentation analysis: analysis setup



- Seven centrality bins and three jet  $p_{\tau}$  ranges:  $p_{\tau}$  > 85 (*R*=0.2), 92 (*R*=0.3), 100 GeV (*R*=0.4).
- Charged particles with  $p_{T} > 2$  GeV in cone of 0.4 around the jet axis were used.
- Jet required to be isolated (to avoid biases from split jets).
- b-jet candidates were excluded from the analysis.
- Jet  $p_{\tau}$  was corrected to reduce the effect of the jet up-feeding due to JER.
- "fake" jets (from UE fluctuations) were identified and rejected by requirement of matching calorimeter jet to a track jet or electro-magnetic cluster > 7 GeV.
  - A Measurement is restricted to  $|\eta| < 2.1$ .
  - We operate on trigger and jet reconstruction efficiency plateau for selected jet energies.
  - Residual fake rate is negligible for selected jet energies.



## Results of Subtraction and Unfolding



- SVD unfolding was used to correct detector effects and to reduce the effect of statistical fluctuations.
- D(z) unfolding accounts for track momentum and jet energy resolution,  $D(p_{-})$  for track momentum resolution.





3

### Performance of the Track Reconstruction

- H H Y SIC Y O C C T T Y SIC Y O C C T Y SIC
- Performance was evaluated using Pythia particles embedded into HIJING MB events.



Very good description of detector response by MC.