### Hard Probes 2013

The 6th International Conference on Hard and Electromagnetic Probes of High-Energy Nuclear Collisions

## Overview of ALICE results



Francesco Prino INFN – Sezione di Torino

#### for the ALICE collaboration

November 4 - 8, 2013 Cape Town, South Africa

### ALICE at the LHC





### **Tracking with ALICE**







### **Muons with ALICE**





### **Data samples**



System	Energy √s <sub>NN</sub> (TeV)	Year	Integrated Iuminosity	Goal	
Pb-Pb	2.76	2010	~10 μb⁻¹	<ul> <li>Study the properties of hot and dense QCD matter</li> </ul>	
		2011	~100 μb⁻¹		
p-Pb	5.02	2012	~0.8 µb⁻¹	Assess cold nuclear	
		2013	~15 nb <sup>-1</sup> of p-Pb	<ul> <li>matter effects</li> <li> and much more</li> </ul>	
			~15 nb <sup>-1</sup> of Pb-p		

In addition (not covered in this presentation)

pp collisions at √s=0.9, 2.76, 7 and 8 TeV
 ⇒reference for Pb-Pb (and p-Pb)
 ⇒genuine pp physics program

### p-Pb and Pb-p samples



• p-Pb

proton going towards muon arm



#### y<sub>cms</sub> = 0.465 in the p-beam direction



• Pb-p

⇔Pb nucleus going towards muon arm

### p-Pb and Pb-p samples



• p-Pb

proton going towards muon arm

Most of the results shown in this presentation from the p-Pb sample



#### $y_{CMS} = 0.465$ in the p-beam direction



Pb nucleus going towards muon arm



### **Centrality in p-Pb ?**





#### C. Oppedisano, Thu 13:30

#### Estimator: V0A

⇒ in p-Pb: multiplicity in Pb hemisphere
⇒ in Pb-p: multiplicity in p hemisphere

Multiplicity -> geometry (Glauber)

Glauber → large r.m.s. -> events with same N<sup>Glauber</sup> fall in different multiplicity classes

Bias in binary scaling for multiplicity classes





N. hits SPD

ALI-PERF-51411

N. hits SPD







### **Recap:** Pb-Pb global properties



## Identified particle v<sub>2</sub> in Pb-Pb



ALI-DER-55851

#### Identified particle elliptic flow

 ✓ Mass ordering at low p<sub>T</sub> described by hydrodynamics
 ✓ Particle species dependence persists up to p<sub>T</sub>≈ 8 GeV/c



### Hadron-hadron correlations in p-Pb





### More on the double ridge



16

#### h - π,K,p correlations



#### • v<sub>2</sub> extracted from twoparticle correlations

✓ Mass ordering at low p<sub>T</sub>
 ✓ Crossing at p<sub>T</sub>≈2 GeV/c

#### T. Schuster, Thu 14:50

#### HF decay e<sup>±</sup> - h correlations



#### Double ridge seen also in the correlation of heavy-flavour decay electrons with hadrons

Suggests that the mechanism generating the double ridge is at work also for heavy flavours

### Identified particles in high multiplicity p-Pb

٥





#### Models:

- Blast-wave fit = locally thermalized medium expanding with collective flow velocity
- EPOS LHC = full event generator including hydrodynamical evolution
- Krakow = 3+1 viscous hydrodynamics (expected to work at low p<sub>T</sub>)
- DPMJET = PHOJET pp +nuclei via Glauber-Grybov approach
- Models including hydrodynamics give a better description of the spectra

A. Ortiz, Mon 16:40

#### **Baryon/meson ratios** p-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$ + 0-5% V0A multiplicity + 0-5% -+ 60-80% V0A multiplicity + 60-80% 0.8 $0{<}y_{\rm cms}{<}0.5$ for $p_{\rm T}{<}3.0~{\rm GeV}/c$ $|y_{\rm cms}|{<}0.3$ for $p_{\rm T}{>}3.0~{\rm GeV}/c$ $|y_{\rm cms}|$ <0.5 for $p_{\rm T}$ < 3.0 GeV/c $(p + \overline{p})/(\pi^+ + \pi^-)$ $|y_{cms}| < 0.8$ for $p_{\pm} > 3.0$ GeV/c J. Otwinowski, 0.6 Tue 12:00 A. Ortiz, 0.4 Mon 16:40 0.2 2 6 10 12 14 6 8 10 12 14 $p_{_{\rm T}}\,({\rm GeV}/c)$

ALI-DER-61780

Similar evolution of baryon/meson ratios vs.  $p_{T}$  with multiplicity in Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions

 $\Rightarrow$  Enhancement at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>

- Pb-Pb results commonly understood in terms of collective radial expansion and hadronization via quark recombination
- $\Rightarrow$  Magnitude of the effect significantly different in p-Pb and Pb-Pb

### Mini-jets in p-Pb



19

|Δη|<1.8

NS 1.2<|\Delta\n|<1.8

- Mini-jets: bundles of particles from semi-hard partonic scatterings
- How: from associated yield in near and away sides
   ⇒ Subtract the double ridge in p-Pb to remove the non-jet-

related yield



High multiplicity p-Pb events not built by a higher number of associated particles in the jet peaks
 Different from what observed in pp
 E. Leogrande, Thu 14:10

### Mini-jets and MPIs



• Uncorrelated seeds = number of independent sources of particle production  $< N_{uncorrelated seeds} >= \frac{< N_{trigger} >}{< 1 + N_{assoc, near+away} >}$ 

⇒ In PYTHIA strongly correlated with number of MPIs



Number of MPIs and multiplicity scale linearly in p-Pb

⇒ Different from what observed in pp

E. Leogrande, Thu 14:10 20



### Jet quenching



### **Nuclear modification factor**



- Charged particle spectra strongly modified in Pb-Pb w.r.t. pp
- p-Pb results confirm that jet quenching is a final state effect

22

### Identified particle R<sub>AA</sub>





 For p<sub>T</sub>>8 GeV/c pions, kaons and protons are equally suppressed within uncertainties

 $\Rightarrow$  Particle composition at high-p<sub>T</sub> not affected by the medium

### Jet reconstruction





### Jet cross section in Pb-Pb





#### Strong suppression of jet yield in most central Pb-Pb collisions

 $\Rightarrow$  Moderate increase of R<sub>AA</sub> with increasing p<sub>T</sub>

### Jet structure in Pb-Pb





Ratio σ(R=0.2)/σ(R=0.3) of jet cross sections in Pb-Pb compatible with fragmentation in vacuum (PYTHIA)
 ⇒Sensitive to the profile of the jet energy density

⇒No evidence of jet shape modification in jet core



### Jet cross section in p-Pb





## Jet structure in pp and p-Pb



#### Ratio σ(R=0.2)/σ(R=0.4)

 $\Rightarrow$  Sensitive to the profile of the jet energy density

➡ Compatible in p-Pb and pp (and PYTHIA)

✓ NOTE: comparison between different √s

→ No indication of jet structure modification due to CNM effects

R=0.2

R=0.4

### Di-jets: k<sub>T</sub> in p-Pb



Good agreement between data and PYTHIA8 (tune 4C)

 $\Rightarrow$  No indication for additional k<sub>T</sub> in p-Pb collisions

-> No significant cold nuclear matter effects observed in jet measurements in p-Pb





### Heavy-flavours: R<sub>44</sub>



- Strong modification of prompt D meson yield
- Cannot conclude on expected enhancement of  $D_s/D$  at low/intermediate p<sub>T</sub>
- 🛄 Kuznetsova, Rafelski, EPJ C 51 (2007) 113 He, Fries, Rapp, arXiv:1204.4442



HF decay lepton yield suppressed in  $3 < p_T < 18$  GeV/c

Similar  $R_{AA}$  for heavy-flavour decay electrons (|y|<0.6) and muons (2.5<y<4)

S. Li, Mon 13:30

### Heavy-flavours in p-Pb



 R<sub>pPb</sub> of prompt D mesons and heavy-flavour decay electrons compatible with unity in the measured p<sub>T</sub> range
 ⇒ Data described both by EPS09 parameterization of nuclear PDFs and by Color-Glass-Condensate approach

E. Pereira, Tue 14:30

**FONLL:** M. Cacciari et al, JHEP0407 (2004) 033

EPS09: K. J. Eskola et al., JHEP 0904 (2009) 065

GGC: H. Fujii, K. Watanabe, arXiv: 1308.1258



 • R<sub>pPb</sub> of prompt D mesons and heavy-flavour decay electrons compatible with unity in the measured p<sub>T</sub> range
 ⇒ Data described both by EPS09 parameterization of nuclear PDFs and by Color-Glass-Condensate approach

A. Rossi, Mon 14:50

FONLL: M. Cacciari et al, JHEP0407 (2004) 033
 EPS09: K. J. Eskola et al., JHEP 0904 (2009) 065
 COOL III Fuill K. Wetensha arXiv: 4202 4252

🛄 CGC: H. Fujii, K. Watanabe, arXiv: 1308.1258

### D mesons: p-Pb and Pb-Pb



#### -> the suppression observed in Pb-Pb is a **final state effect**

FONLL: M. Cacciari et al, JHEP0407 (2004) 033
 EPS09: K. J. Eskola et al., JHEP 0904 (2009) 065
 CGC: H. Fujii, K. Watanabe, arXiv: 1308.1258



Djordjevic et al.: arXiv:1307.4098

(2012) 014903





Simultaneous description of open charm R<sub>AA</sub> and v<sub>2</sub> is a challenge for theoretical models



- POWLANG: Alberico et al., EPJ C71 (2011) 1666
- 🛄 Cao, Qin, Bass, arXiv:1308.0617
- Aichelin et al.: PRC79 (2009) 044906, J. Phys. G37 (2010) 094019
- BAMPS: Fochler et al., J. Phys. G38 (2011) 124152
- (2012) TAMU: Rapp, He et al., PRC 86 (2012) 014903
- **UrQMD:** Lang et al, arXiv:1211.6912, arXiv:1212.0696

### Hierarchy in energy loss?



- Expectation from radiative energy loss:  $\Delta E_g > \Delta E_{u,d,s} > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$
- Could be reflected in an hierarchy of  $R_{AA}$ :  $R_{AA}(B) > R_{AA}(D) > R_{AA}(\pi)$



⇒ NOTE: comparison of D and  $\pi$  R<sub>AA</sub> complicated by different fragmentation, different p<sub>T</sub> spectra, and by soft  $\pi$  production (scaling with N<sub>part</sub>) at low p<sub>T</sub>

### Hierarchy in energy loss?



- Expectation from radiative energy loss:  $\Delta E_g > \Delta E_{u,d,s} > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$
- Could be reflected in an hierarchy of  $R_{AA}$ :  $R_{AA}(B) > R_{AA}(D) > R_{AA}(\pi)$



### **Hierarchy in energy loss?**



- Expectation from radiative energy loss:  $\Delta E_a > \Delta E_{u.d.s} > \Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$
- Could be reflected in an hierarchy of  $R_{AA}$ :  $R_{AA}(B) > R_{AA}(D) > R_{AA}(\pi)$

D meson and J/ψ←B (from CMS) R<sub>AA</sub> vs. centrality in  $p_{T}$ ranges tuned to have <p<sub>⊤</sub>(D)> ≈ <p\_(B)>

G38 (2011) 124114

G38 (2011) 124152



-> clear indication for  $R_{AA}(B) > R_{AA}(D)$ 

->consistent with the expectation  $\Delta E_{c} > \Delta E_{b}$ 

-> first clear indication of a dependence on heavy quark mass



### Quarkonia



### J/ψ production in Pb-Pb





### J/ψ production in Pb-Pb





### *J/ψ* production in p-Pb vs. y





- R<sub>pPb</sub> close to unity at backward rapidity
- Suppression at midand forward rapidity
- Models of CNM effects
  - CEM(NLO)+EPS09: describes well the backward rapidity, strong shadowing favoured by data at forward rapidity
  - Coherent energy loss: reproduces well the y dependence
  - CGC-based calculations disfavoured by the data
    - **Vogt, arXiv:1301.3395**

Pb

- Arleo et al., arXiv:1212.0434
- 🚇 Fuji et al., arXiv:1304.2221

M. Winn, <u>Thu 16:20</u>

## $J/\psi$ production in p-Pb vs. $p_T$ ALICE

#### **Mid-rapidity**

#### **Forward rapidity**



- Backward rapidity (Pb-going side):
  - $\Rightarrow R_{pPb}$  close to unity, small  $p_T$  dependence
- Vogt, arXiv:1301.3395
   Arleo et al., arXiv:1212.0434
   Fuji et al., arXiv:1304.2221

• Mid-rapidity:

**Backward rapidity** 

 $\Rightarrow$  R<sub>pPb</sub> lower than unity, more precision needed for clear p<sub>T</sub> dependence

Forward rapidity (p-going side)

 $\Rightarrow$  More significant  $p_T$  dependence,  $R_{pPb}$  lower than unity at low  $p_T$ 

Data tend to favour strong shadowing, CGC-based model disfavoured

### p-Pb and Pb-Pb



- In a 2→1 kinematics, assuming that shadowing factorizes:

   Gompare R<sub>AA</sub> in Pb-Pb with R<sub>pPb</sub>(y>0)xR<sub>pPb</sub>(y<0)
   C. Hadjidakis, Fri 11:30
   </li>
- Small CNM effects at high p<sub>T</sub> (> 4-6 GeV/c)
   M. Winn, Thu 16:20
- At low p<sub>T</sub>: similar (or lower) suppression in Pb-Pb relative to shadowing expectation

### ψ(2S) production in p-Pb



Stronger suppression of ψ(2S) in p-Pb relative to J/ψ
 ⇒ Not described by initial state CNM effect and coherent energy loss
 ⇒ Similar observation by PHENIX in d-Au at √s<sub>NN</sub> = 200 GeV
 ⇒ Final state effects?

### Υ(1S) production



• p-Pb:

Similar R<sub>pPb</sub> of J/ψ and Υ
 EPS09 shadowing in fair agreement with both J/ψ and Υ within uncertainties

#### • Pb-Pb:

 ⇒ Y (1S) yield suppressed relative to binary-scaled pp
 ⇒ Similar suppression at mid (CMS) and forward rapidity

F. Bossù, Mon 16:20 47

### Conclusions



#### • p-Pb:

- ⇒More than just a control experiment
- Quantify Cold Nuclear Matter Effects, constrain shadowing/saturation at low x

 $\Rightarrow$ Intriguing results from high multiplicity events,  $\psi(2S)$ 

#### • Pb-Pb

- Significant progress in the studies of the properties of the hot and dense medium formed in the collision
- Decisive role of hard probes: jets, heavy flavour and quarkonia crucial to quantify the density, transport properties and the temperature of the medium

### ALICE at HP2013

٠



ALICE plenary talks:	
R. Reed: Jets	Tue 9:30
→ J. Otwinowski: Light flavours	Tue 12:00
➡ D. Caffarri: Heavy flavours	Wed 9:00
A. Dobrin: Azimuthal correlations	Thu 11:00
	Fri 11:30
ALICE parallel talks:	
C. Oppedisano: particle production and centrality	Thu 13:30
A. Ortiz: Identified particle production	Mon 16:40
S. Li: Muons from heavy-flavour decays	Mon 13:30
A. Rossi: D mesons in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb	Mon 14:50
E. Pereira: Electrons from heavy-flavour decays	Tue 14:30
∠ L. Cunqueiro: Hadron-jets in Pb-Pb	Thu 13:50
➡ M. Verweij: Di-jets in p-Pb	Thu 14:30
➡ E. Leogrande: Minijets in p-Pb	Thu 14:10
T. Schuster: Two-particle correlations	Thu 14:50
A. Uras: Low mass dileptons	Tue 13:50
$\Rightarrow$ M. Winn: J/ $\psi$ and $\psi$ (2S) in p-Pb	Thu 16:20
➡ F. Bossù: Y production in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb	Mon 16:20
and also 8 ALICE posters	



## Mean $p_T$ in pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb



- Three different √s for pp, p-Pb and Pb-Pb ⇔but √s dependence
  - expected to be weak
- Much stronger increase of <p<sub>T</sub>> in p-Pb than in Pb-Pb
- p-Pb follows pp up to N<sub>ch</sub>~14-15
- N<sub>ch</sub>>14 corresponds to
   ⇒~10% of pp x-section:
   ✓ pp already highly biased
   ⇒ 50% of p-Pb x-section
   ✓ only centrality bias

## Baryon/meson vs. multiplcity



• In a given  $p_T$  bin, the ratio  $p/\pi$  as a function of dNch/dh follows a power-law behavior

Same power-law scaling eponent in p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions

Same feature observed also in the K/K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> ratio

## D meson R<sub>AA</sub>: LHC vs RHIC



## **D** meson R<sub>AA</sub>: LHC vs RHIC



#### same theoretical model

D meson R<sub>AA</sub> quite different for 1<p<sub>T</sub><2 GeV/c</li>

⇒Recombination + radial flow?

✓ Stronger effect at RHIC because of steeper  $dN/dp_T$ ?

 $\Rightarrow$  Different role of shadowing at low  $p_T$  at the two energies?

# Heavy Flavour decay electrons:



### $D_{s}/D^{0}$ and $D_{s}/D^{+}$





ALI-DER-44042

### Heavy flavours in pp

 $10^{3}$ 

10<sup>2</sup>

(µb/GeV/c)

Heavy flavour  $p_{T}$ -differential cross sections well described by pQCD calculations









 Inclusive J/ψ production cross-section measured in pp at √s of 7 and 2.76 TeV
 □ ALICE, Phys.Lett. B718 (2012) 295



Results in agreement with NLO NRQCD calculations

 $\Upsilon$ (1S) vs.  $J/\psi R_{\Delta\Delta}$ 





#### • No straightforward interpretation:

- different contribution of (re-)generation for charmonia and bottomonia
- ⇒different feed-down from higher mass-states for J/ψ and Y(1S)