





# Anisotropic flow of thermal photons as a quark-gluon plasma viscometer

#### Chun Shen The Ohio State University

In collaboration with Jean-Francois Paquet, Ulrich Heinz, and Charles Gale

Nov. 4, 2013 Hard Probes

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440

# Little Bang



# **Challenge** from Experiment



PHENIX measurements show large direct photon  $v_2$ at  $p_T < 4 \text{ GeV}$ 

The state-of-the-art calculation underestimates the data by a factor of 5!

3(15)

# Work flow of Theoretical Calculation



# Work flow of Theoretical Calculation



#### General Formalism

Thermal photon emission rates can be calculated by

$$E_q \frac{dR}{d^3 q} = \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1 (2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2 (2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p_3}{2E_3 (2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} |\mathcal{M}|^2$$

 $\times f_1(p_1^{\mu}) f_2(p_2^{\mu}) (1 \pm f_3(p_3^{\mu})) (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - q)$  With

$$f(p^{\mu}) = f_0(E) + f_0(E)(1 \pm f_0(E)) \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_{\mu} \hat{p}_{\nu}}{2(e+p)} \chi\left(\frac{p}{T}\right)$$

We can expand photon emission rates around the thermal equilibrium:

$$q \frac{dR}{d^3 q} = \Gamma_0 + \frac{\pi^{\mu\nu} \hat{q}_\mu \hat{q}_\nu}{2(e+p)} a_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\alpha\beta},$$
$$a_{\mu\nu} = \frac{3}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^4} \hat{q}_\mu \hat{q}_\nu + \frac{1}{(u\cdot\hat{q})^2} u_\mu u_\nu + \frac{1}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^2} g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{2(u\cdot\hat{q})^3} (\hat{q}_\mu u_\nu + \hat{q}_\nu u_\mu).$$
 5(15)















![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Shear viscous suppression of photon v<sub>2</sub> is dominated by the viscous corrections to photon emission rates
- Photon elliptic flow is more sensitive to the evolution of shear stress tensor during the early time

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Shear viscous suppression of photon v<sub>2</sub> is dominated by the viscous corrections to photon emission rates
- Photon elliptic flow is more sensitive to the evolution of shear stress tensor during the early time

#### Fluctuation effects on photon elliptic flow

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Fluctuation effects on photon elliptic flow

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

Initial fluctuations increase photon's elliptic flow

#### Fluctuation effects on photon elliptic flow

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Initial fluctuations increase photon's elliptic flow
- Viscous suppression is larger in the event-by-event runs

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The anisotropic flows of photons show similar centrality behavior as hadrons  $v_{\text{n}}$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The anisotropic flows of photons show similar centrality behavior as hadrons  $v_{\text{n}}$
- The ratio of  $v_2/v_3$  increase with the shear viscosity.
- The centrality dependence of this ratio is stronger for MCKLN model

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

 The ratio of v<sub>2</sub>/v<sub>3</sub> of photons is larger than the ratio of thermal pions

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The ratio of v<sub>2</sub>/v<sub>3</sub> of photons is larger than the ratio of thermal pions
- The ratio of v<sub>2</sub>/v<sub>3</sub> is larger for QGP photons compared to hadronic photons which indicates triangular flow develops faster than elliptic flow during the late stage of hydrodynamic evolution

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Missing rates in hadronic phase Photon production rates from baryonic channels are missing in the hadronic phase. We can estimate this by increase photon emission rates in hadronic phase by a factor of 2,

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Missing rates in hadronic phase Photon production rates from baryonic channels are missing in the hadronic phase. We can estimate this by increase photon emission rates in hadronic phase by a factor of 2,

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

 it increases total photon v<sub>2</sub> by ~45% at both RHIC and LHC energies

14(15)

## Conclusion

- We calculate photon anisotropic flows v<sub>n</sub> from *event*by-event viscous hydrodynamic medium
- Shear viscosity suppresses photon v<sub>n</sub>. Dominant suppression comes not from flow, but from the viscous correction to the production rates.
- Elliptic and triangular flow of photons are more sensitive than hadrons to the shear stress tensor at early time and the initial state fluctuations.
- Photon production from missing sources (e.g. baryonic channels) are needed to improve the agreement between experiment and theory.

## Conclusion

- We calculate photon anisotropic flows v<sub>n</sub> from *event*by-event viscous hydrodynamic medium
- Shear viscosity suppresses photon v<sub>n</sub>. Dominant suppression comes not from flow, but from the viscous correction to the production rates.
- Elliptic and triangular flow of photons are more sensitive than hadrons to the shear stress tensor at early time and the initial state fluctuations.
- Photon production from missing sources (e.g. baryonic channels) are needed to improve the agreement between experiment and theory.

arXiv: 1308.2111, 1308.2440

Viscous effects on dilepton flow: Gojko Vujanovic Tue 2:30

# Back ups ...

#### Photon Emission Rates QGP vs HG

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

 QGP rates have very different p<sub>T</sub> dependence compared to HG rates

#### Photon Emission Rates QGP vs HG

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Comparing with ideal hydro runs, the v<sub>2</sub>/v<sub>3</sub> ratio increases with shear viscosity
- MCKLN model shows stronger centrality dependence than MCGlb model