

On the discovery of a new light particle in high energy nuclear transitions

Attila Krasznahorkay

Inst. for Nucl. Res., Hung. Acad.
of Sci. (MTA-Atomki)

Cape Town, 2018



Observation of Anomalous Pair creation in ^8Be : A Possible Indication of a Light Neutral Boson



About this Attention Score
In the top 5% of all research outputs scored by Altmetric

- Mentioned by
- 55 news outlets
 - 19 blogs
 - 89 tweeters
 - 6 Facebook pages

SUMMARY News Blogs Twitter Facebook

Title Observation of Anomalous Internal Pair Creation in ^8Be

Published in Physical Review Letters, January 2016

DOI 10.1103/physrevlett.116.042501

Pubmed ID 26871324

Authors A. J. Krasznahorkay, M. Csatlós, L. Csige, Z. Gácsi, J. Gulyás, M. Hunyadi, T. J. Ketzer

Abstract Electron-positron angular correlations were measured for the isovector magnetic dipole transition in ^8Be nuclear transitions. The results show a significant deviation from the expected values, which is interpreted as evidence for a new, light boson.

TWITTER DEMOGRAPHICS MENDELEY READERS

The data shown below were collected from the profiles of 89 tweeters who shared this article. [about how the information was compiled.](#)



Evidence for a Protophobic Fifth Force from ^8Be Nuclear Transitions

Jonathan L. Feng,¹ Bartosz Fornal,¹ Iftah Galon,¹ Susan Gardner,^{1,2} Jordan Smolinsky,¹ Tim M. P. Tait,¹ and Philip Tanedo¹

Department of Physics, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-4575 USA
Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, California 92092-0055 USA

Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 071803


The Atomki anomaly → signals for a new 17 MeV boson → gauge boson of a new fundamental force of nature

Has a Hungarian physics lab found a fifth force of nature?

Radioactive decay anomaly could imply a new fundamental force, theorists say.

Edwin Cartledge

25 May 2016



Physicists at the Institute for Nuclear Research in Debrecen, Hungary, say this apparatus — an electron-positron spectrometer — has found evidence for a new particle.

A laboratory experiment in Hungary has spotted an anomaly in radioactive decay that could be the signature of a previously unknown fifth fundamental force of nature, physicists say – if the finding holds up.

Attila Krasznahorkay at the Hungarian Academy of Sciences's Institute for Nuclear Research in Debrecen, Hungary, and his colleagues reported their surprising result in 2015 on the arXiv preprint server, and this January in the journal *Physical Review Letters*¹. But the report – which posited the existence of a new, light boson only 34 times heavier than the electron – was largely overlooked.

Then, on 25 April, a group of US theoretical physicists brought the finding to wider attention by publishing its own analysis of the result on arXiv². The theorists showed that the data didn't conflict with any previous experiments – and concluded that it could be evidence for a fifth fundamental force. "We brought it out from relative obscurity," says Jonathan Feng, at the University of California, Irvine, the lead author of the arXiv report.

Four days later, two of Feng's colleagues discussed the finding at a workshop at the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory in



Dark matter may feel a "fifth force" that the rest of the Universe does not

Print

Searching for new physics and Dark Matter


Should not have to defend this too much...

Searching from the basement to the attic already for 30 years, every corner with tremendous strength, but **didn't find anything significant so far ...**

Fertile ground:



- Light, Weakly Interacting DM, the dark photon concept (γ -like vector particles)
- Pseudoscalar, Axion Like Particles (ALP) (axion search in nuclear transitions 1978 \rightarrow)
- Z^0 -like particles

M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, "Astrophysical Signatures of Secluded Dark Matter," [Phys. Lett. B 671, 391 \(2009\)](#)




The creation and decay of ${}^8\text{Be}^*$

4




- Proton decay: $B(p + {}^7\text{Li}) \approx 100\%$
- γ -decay: $B({}^8\text{Be} + \gamma) \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$
- Internal pair creation: $B({}^8\text{Be} + e^+ e^-) \approx 5.5 \times 10^{-8}$
- Ejection of a new particle: $B({}^8\text{Be} + X) \approx 5.5 \times 10^{-10}$

Building the most efficient e^+e^- spectrometer in the world (NIM, A808 (2016) 21)




Results


$e^+ - e^-$ sum energy spectra and angular correlations



$E_p = 1.04$ MeV




$E_p = 1.10$ MeV




Deviation from IPC

- Can it be some artificial effect caused by γ -rays?
- Can it be some nuclear physics effect?
- ...


How can we understand the peak like deviation? Fitting the angular correlations



Experimental angular e^+e^- pair correlations measured in the ${}^7\text{Li}(p, e^+e^-)$ reaction at $E_p = 1.10$ MeV with $-0.5 < y < 0.5$ (closed circles) and $|y| > 0.5$ (open circles), where $y = (E_1 - E_2) / (E_1 + E_2)$.



Determination of the mass of the new particle by the χ^2/f method




Invariant mass distribution plot for the electron-positron pairs

Introduction of the protophobic fifth force (J. Feng et al. PRL 117, 071803, (2016))


$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}X_{\mu\nu}X^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_X^2 X_\mu X^\mu - X^\mu J_\mu,$$

$$\varepsilon_p = 2\varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_d \quad \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_u + 2\varepsilon_d$$

Branching ratio: $\frac{B(^8\text{Be}^* \rightarrow ^8\text{Be} X)}{B(^8\text{Be}^* \rightarrow ^8\text{Be} \gamma)} = (\varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_n)^2 \frac{|\vec{p}_X|^3}{|\vec{p}_\gamma|^3} \approx 5.6 \times 10^{-6}$

 $|\varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_n| \approx 0.011 \quad |\varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_d| \approx 3.7 \times 10^{-3}$

Pion decay $|2\varepsilon_u + \varepsilon_d| < \varepsilon_{\text{max}} = 8 \times 10^{-4}$

 $-2.3 < \frac{\varepsilon_d}{\varepsilon_u} < -1.8, \quad -0.067 < \frac{\varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_n} < 0.078 \quad \mathbf{R \approx 12 \text{ fm}}$



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Physics Letters B

www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb



Can nuclear physics explain the anomaly observed in the internal pair production in the Beryllium-8 nucleus?



Xilin Zhang*, Gerald A. Miller

Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 March 2017

Received in revised form 7 August 2017

Accepted 8 August 2017

Available online 16 August 2017

Editor: W. Haxton

ABSTRACT

Recently the experimentalists in Krasznahorkay (2016) [1] announced observing an unexpected enhancement of the e^+e^- pair production signal in one of the ^8Be nuclear transitions. The subsequent studies have been focused on possible explanations based on introducing new types of particle. In this work, we improve the nuclear physics modeling of the reaction by studying the pair emission anisotropy and the interferences between different multipoles in an effective field theory inspired framework, and examine their possible relevance to the anomaly. The connection between the previously measured on-shell photon production and the pair production in the same nuclear transitions is established. These improvements, absent in the original experimental analysis, should be included in extracting new particle's properties from the experiment of this type. However, the improvements can not explain the anomaly. We then explore the nuclear transition form factor as a possible origin of the anomaly, and find the required form factor to be unrealistic for the ^8Be nucleus. The reduction of the anomaly's significance by simply rescaling our predicted event count is also investigated.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

Promising Outlook (It will take several years to get results...)

IPC:

- verify ^8Be
- ^{10}B : 19.3 MeV
- ^{10}Be : 17.79 MeV


Purdue Univ., USA
 Hanoi, Vietnam
 Orsay, France
 Canberra, Australia

More Exp:

- TUNL (HIGS facility γ Nuc)
- TREK@JPARC: K^+ Decays
- SHIP
- SeaQuest (Gardner & Holt)
- VdG UK
- BESIII (arXiv:1607.03970)

Prob UV

- ATLAS, CMS, **FASER: ForwArd Search ExpeRiment at the LHC**



Recent results at CERN

Search for a Hypothetical 16.7 MeV Gauge Boson and Dark Photons in the NA64 Experiment at CERN

D. Banerjee *et al.* (NA64 Collaboration)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 231802 – Published 8 June 2018

„To exclude couplings around 10^{-3} will be very difficult. For that we would need to build more sophisticated detector which currently under study.”



Sergei Gninenko

Repeating the experiments at a new Medium-Current Tandetron Accelerator System in Atomki Debrecen


The new e^+e^- pair spectrometer with six telescopes equipped with Si DSSD's



Recent results for the 17.6 MeV transition




Recent results for the 18.15 MeV transition



Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **1056** (2018) 012028

	Exp1	Exp2	Average
$m_0c^2 (MeV)$	16.86(6)	17.17(7)	17.01(16)
B_x	$6.8(10) \times 10^{-6}$	$4.7(21) \times 10^{-6}$	$6(1) \times 10^{-6}$
Significance	7.37σ	4.90σ	

Results for the e^+e^- decay measured in Debrecen



$M_0c^2 = 16.6 \text{ MeV}$

Measured e^+e^- pair correlation divided by the simulated pair creation.

How can we choose between the different interpretations?

PRL 117, 071803 (2016)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending
12 AUGUST 2016

Protophobic Fifth-Force Interpretation of the Observed Anomaly in ^8Be Nuclear Transitions

Jonathan L. Feng,¹ Bartosz Fornal,¹ Iftah Galon,¹ Susan Gardner,^{1,2} Jordan Smolinsky,¹ Tim M. P. Tait,¹ and Philip Tanedo¹

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, California 92697-4575, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0055, USA*

(Received 3 May 2016; published 11 August 2016)



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: September 23, 2016

REVISED: October 24, 2016

ACCEPTED: October 28, 2016

PUBLISHED: November 8, 2016

**Possible explanation of the electron positron anomaly
at 17 MeV in ^8Be transitions through a light
pseudoscalar**

Ulrich Ellwanger^{a,b} and Stefano Moretti^b

Axions and other very light axion-like particles appear in many extensions of the Standard Model, and are leading candidates to compose part or all of the missing matter of the Universe.

The lack of positive signal of new physics at the high energy frontier, and in underground detectors searching for weakly interacting massive particles, is also contributing to the increase of the interest in axion searches.

The experimental landscape is rapidly evolving, with many novel detection concepts and new experiments being proposed lately.

Study the $\gamma\gamma$ -decay of X(17) in ${}^4\text{He}$


- Vector particle (1^+) or axialvector (0^-)?
- If vector particle then $\gamma\gamma$ emission is forbidden (Landau-Yang theorem).
- If axialvector then it can decay by $\gamma\gamma$ emission.
- $\gamma\gamma$ -decay only known in a special case: $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ (${}^{90}\text{Zr}$, ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$, ${}^{16}\text{O}$) ${}^4\text{He}$
 - J. Schirmer et al., PRL 53, 1897 (1984)
 - J. Kramp et al., NPA 474, 412 (1987)
- Walz, N. Pietrala et al., Competitive Double-Gamma' ($\gamma\gamma/\gamma$) Decay *Nature* **526**, 406 (2015)

$$\cos(\Theta) = 1 - \frac{m_x^2}{2E_1E_2}$$

Study the angular correlation with big, state of the art LaBr_3 detectors.

The ${}^3\text{H}(p,\gamma\gamma){}^4\text{He}$ experiment in Debrecen

Cooled (LN_2), ${}^3\text{H}$ absorbed in Ti (3 mg/cm²) on a 0.4 mm thick Mo disc (target for neutron generator)




e+ e- spectrometer with six DSSD+calorimeter telescopes




γ -spectrometer with twelve, 3"x3" and two 3.5"x6" LaBr₃ detectors

Two photon sum-energy distributions measured at different angular regions (very preliminary)






A typical γ -ray spectrum.
(The proton energy loss in the target was about 400 keV, so the photo-peak was washed out.)



Problems with the background
(random, cosmic)
subtraction?

Sum energy spectra for coincident detectors.


The ${}^3\text{He}(n,\gamma\gamma){}^4\text{He}$ experiment in Garching with the FRM II High Flux Reactor (10^{10} cold n/cm 2)




Coincidence γ -ray spectrometer with twelve 3"x3" LaBr3 detectors. The angle between the detectors is 30 degree, and the detector plain is perpendicular to the beam.

The pressurized (2 bar) ${}^3\text{He}$ target located in the middle of the spectrometer, and the active cosmic-ray shield (above).


The first results in Garching



A typical singles γ -ray spectrum



Typical sum-energy spectra for coincident detectors




Preliminary $\gamma\gamma$ -angular correlation

Conclusion



- The ^8Be anomaly could be reproduced with an independent spectrometer.
- The effect can not be explained within nuclear physics.
- The anomaly can be successfully described by a new particle called (X17).
- The effect of X(17) was observed also in ^4He in a $20.6 \text{ MeV } 0^- \rightarrow 0^+$ transition at a correspondingly smaller angle.
- The $\gamma\gamma$ -decay of X17 was studied. We are planning further experiments.

To ⁸Be continued...



**Thank you very much for your
attention**