

Role of Tensor Force in Magnetic Dipole Transitions of Cr-52

Paul Stevenson // University of Surrey

Wednesday, 14 November 2018

1



Understand nuclear (effective) interaction through range of observables

Nuclear effective interaction

- - └→ description of nature, in the form of nuclear observables

Effective interactions of Skyrme form + time-dependent mean-field / Energy density functional (EDF) approach provides powerful framework for description of nuclei.

Interactions are fitted to (mostly) ground state data, yet part of force is sensitive (mostly) to excited state properties > want to evaluate and understand ability of our EDFs to describe whole variety of nuclear data, including, for today's purposes, giant resonances



Origin: The Skyrme Interaction

Form as essentially given by Skyrme NPA9, 615 (1959)



common extension as density-dependent term

Skyrme as EDF



& the tensor force

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E} &= \int d^{3}r \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^{3}r \sum_{i=n+1} \left\{ C_{t}^{\rho}[\rho_{0}]\rho_{t}^{2} + C_{t}^{s}[\rho_{0}]s_{t}^{2} + C_{t}^{\Delta\rho}\rho_{t}\nabla^{2}\rho_{t} \\ &+ C_{t}^{P}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{s}^{2}) C_{\tau}^{\Delta s} s_{t} \cdot \nabla^{2} s_{t} + C_{t}^{\sigma}(\rho_{t}\tau_{t} - \mathbf{j}_{t}^{2}) \\ &+ C_{t}^{T}\left(\mathbf{s}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{t} - \sum_{j=1}^{z} J_{t,\mu\nu}J_{t,\mu\nu}\right) \\ &+ C_{t}^{F}\left[\mathbf{s}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{t} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{\mu=x}^{z} J_{t,\mu\mu}\right)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu,\nu=x}^{z} J_{t,\mu\nu}J_{t,\nu\mu} \\ &+ C_{t}^{\nabla J}\left(\rho_{t}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{t} + \mathbf{s}_{t} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_{t}\right) \right\}, \end{split}$$
 These two terms typically (always?) cause spin instabilities and are omitted in calculations \\ &+ C_{t}^{\nabla J}\left(\rho_{t}\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{t} + \mathbf{s}_{t} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{j}_{t}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned} This term is *only* present when tensor force is activated \\ & f_{q,\mu}(r) = -\frac{i}{2}(\nabla - \nabla')\rho_{q}(r, r')\Big|_{r=r'} \\ & f_{q,\mu}(r) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\nu=x}^{z}(\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}' + \nabla'_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu})s_{\mu,\nu}(r, r')\Big|_{r=r'}, \end{aligned}

TDHF + Spectral Analysis







M1 strength in Cr-52

Motivated by recent experiments of polarized photon scattering at $HI_{\gamma}S$:

Krishichayan et al., Phys. Rev. C **91**, 044328 (2015) covering E_x=5.1-9.5 MeV, and from NRF at Darmstadt: *H. Pai et al, Phys. Rev. C* **88**, 054316 (2013)





Role of tensor force in Skyrme interaction

SLy5: Lyon Skyrme fit including J² contribution to spin-orbit, Chabanat et al., NPA**635**, 231 (1995)

SLy5t: Perturbative addition of tensor force by Coló, Sagawa, Fracasso, Bortignon, PLB**646**, 227 (2007)



The full tensor field





Further force dependence







Summary of calculations

- Adding tensor force spreads peak structure over wider energy range
- **s.F** tensor-force-only term in mean-field plays large role in response
- Discrete low-lying peaks insensitive to variation in force
- Old SkM* (no tensor) force seems to do rather well
- Plenty of scope for further investigation; coupling to E1; spindipole v. full M1; higher resolution; systematic study of nuclei, forces.

Broader Outlook



What next?

Can initialize TDHF code as collision & study resulting resonance – Closer to experimental situation

³²S + ⁴⁸Ti @ 66MeV CM

... or look at time-evolution of fission fragments, or initialise t=0 configuration as a cluster state, or ...

TDHF is a powerful and general method. With some imagination can explore wide range of nuclear response (of a onebody nature)



Work in collaboration with M. C. Barton: M C Barton & PDS <u>arXiv:1709:07823</u>

-- see also PDS & M. C. Barton, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys., *in press* <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2018.09.002</u>

-- UK STFC Funding agency under grants ST/M503824/1 and ST/L005743/1

-- thank you to COMEX6 organisers