### Photo-neutron Reaction Cross Section Measurements on <sup>94</sup>Mo and <sup>90</sup>Zr Relevant to the *p*-Process Nucleosynthesis

### Adriana Banu, E. G. Meekins

Department of Physics and Astronomy, James Madison University

### S. A. Silano, H. J. Karwowski

Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL), University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

### S. Goriely

Institut d'Astronomie et d'Astrophysique (IAA), Université Libre de Bruxelles



#### **African Nuclear Physics Conference**

July 1 - 5, 2019 Kruger National Park, South Africa

### Seminal curve of atomic abundances





C. Travaglio et al., ApJ 739, 93 (2011)

#### p-Process Nucleosynthesis:



M. Arnould & S. Goriely, Phys. Rep. 384, 1 (2003)

Essential to improving the accuracy of **stellar reaction rate theoretical predictions** within Hauser-Feshbach statistical models:





Nuclear level density





γ-ray beam parameters	Values	
Energy	1 – 100 MeV	
Linear & circular polarization	> 95%	
Intensity with 5% AE /E	> 10 <sup>7</sup> v/s	

For more details see: http://www.tunl.duke.edu/higs/





- $N_n$  number of neutrons detected using <sup>3</sup>He counters
- $N_{\gamma}$  number of incident photons
- $N_t$  number of target atoms per unit area (enriched target)
- $\varepsilon_n$  neutron detection efficiency

# <sup>90</sup>Zr(γ,n)<sup>89</sup>Zr

#### MeV



$$E_{ni} = \left(\frac{89}{90}\right) \left(E_{\gamma} - S_n - E_i\right)$$

- $\varepsilon_{ni}(E_{ni})$  neutron efficiency from Geant4 simulations
- $\boldsymbol{b}_i$  neutron branching from TALYS calculations

$$\epsilon_n^{\rm eff} = \sum_i b_i \epsilon_{n_i} (E_{n_i})$$

γ-ray Beam Energies (MeV): 11.75, 12, 12.1, 12.2, 12.4, 12.5, 12.8, 13, 13.5

# <sup>90</sup>Zr(γ,n)<sup>89</sup>Zr

$E_{\gamma}$ (MeV)	$E_i$ (MeV)	$J_i^{\pi_i}$	$E_{n_i}$ (MeV)	$l_i$	$\epsilon_{n_i}$ (%)	$b_i$	$\epsilon_n^{\rm eff}$ (%)
12	0	9/2+	0.03	3 (f  wave)	52.89	1	52.89
12.1	0	$9/2^{+}$	0.13	3 (f  wave)	52.15	1	52.15
12.2	0	$9/2^{+}$	0.23	3 (f  wave)	51.53	1	51.53
12.4	0	$9/2^{+}$	0.43	3 (f  wave)	49.21	1	49.21
12.5	0	$9/2^{+}$	0.53	3(f  wave)	47.69	1	47.69
12.8	0	$9/2^{+}$	0.82	3 (f  wave)	44.18	0.17	49.94
	0.5878	$1/2^{-}$	0.24	0 (s wave)	51.12	0.83	
13	0	9/2+	1.02	3(f  wave)	41.33	0.23	46.94
	0.5878	$1/2^{-}$	0.44	0 (s wave)	48.61	0.77	
13.5	0	$9/2^{+}$	1.51	3(f  wave)	36.71	0.26	42.97
	0.5878	$1/2^{-}$	0.93	0 (s wave)	42.68	0.45	
	1.0949	$3/2^{-}$	0.43	0 (s wave)	49.02	0.29	

<sup>90</sup>Zr(γ,n)<sup>89</sup>Zr

$E_{\gamma}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{E_{\gamma}}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{(\gamma,n)}$ (mb)	$\eta = \frac{\epsilon_{n_0}}{\epsilon_n^{\text{eff}}} = \frac{\sigma_{(\gamma,n)}}{\sigma_{(\gamma,n_0)}}$
11.75	0.21	$0.01 \pm 0.01$	1
12	0.23	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	1
12.1	0.21	$0.14 \pm 0.02$	1
12.2	0.22	$0.50 \pm 0.03$	1
12.4	0.22	$2.28 \pm 0.12$	1
12.5	0.23	$4.42 \pm 0.24$	1
12.8	0.23	$9.67 \pm 0.52$	0.88 1 excited state
13	0.22	$12.66 \pm 0.68$	0.88 1 excited state
13.5	0.24	$20.94 \pm 1.13$	0.85 2 excited state





$E_{\gamma}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{E_{\gamma}}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{(\gamma,n)}$ (mb)	$\eta = \frac{\epsilon_{n_0}}{\epsilon_n^{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{\sigma}$	$\frac{\sigma(\gamma,n)}{\sigma(\gamma,n_0)}$
9.5	0.18	$0.28\pm0.02$	1	94
9.6	0.17	$1.21 \pm 0.07$	1	
9.65	0.17	$2.51\pm0.14$	1	
9.7	0.17	$2.97\pm0.16$	1	
9.75	0.17	$4.50\pm0.24$	1	
9.8	0.17	$4.93\pm0.27$	1	
9.85	0.17	$6.28 \pm 0.34$	1	
9.95	0.16	$7.83 \pm 0.42$	1	
10	0.19	$8.44\pm0.46$	1	
10.2	0.17	$10.11\pm0.55$	1	
10.5	0.17	$11.77\pm0.63$	1	
10.8	0.17	$13.06\pm0.70$	0.89	1 excited state
11	0.17	$14.53\pm0.78$	0.86	1 excited state
11.5	0.24	$17.47\pm0.94$	0.80	3 excited states
11.65	0.25	$18.73 \pm 1.01$	0.78	3 excited states
11.8	0.22	$20.63 \pm 1.11$	0.79	3 excited states
11.95	0.23	$22.61 \pm 1.22$	0.79	6 excited states
12.25	0.22	$24.20 \pm 1.30$	0.71	8 excited states
12.5	0.23	$27.86 \pm 1.50$	0.72	<b>11</b> excited states
12.8	0.23	$32.39 \pm 1.74$	0.74	14 excited states
13.5	0.24	$48.64 \pm 2.62$	0.77	22 excited states

# <sup>94</sup>Mo(γ,n)<sup>93</sup>Mo

<sup>94</sup>Mo(γ,n)<sup>93</sup>Mo





### Messages to take away

- Laboratory measurements of photodisintegration cross sections cannot constrain the actual stellar reaction rates!
- > Accurate measurements of cross sections of photoneutron reactions help constrain the E1  $\gamma$ -ray strength function
- Neutrons emitted from excited states in the residual nucleus must be appropriately accounted for when extracting the photoneutron reaction cross sections
- If only neutrons emitted from directly populated ground state in the residual nucleus are considered, the photoneutron reaction cross sections can be overestimated!

14

### Acknowledgments

This research is funded by the Research Corporation for Science Advancement – The Cottrell College Science Award







The theoretical work was performed within the IAEA CRP on "Updating the Photonuclear Data Library and Generating a Reference Database for Photon Strength Functions" (F41032)